

# Non-abelian theta functions

Arnaud Beauville

Université de Nice

Chennai, december 2008

# Abelian theta functions

$C$  curve (= Riemann surface) of genus  $g$ . Topologically, line bundles on  $C$  are classified by the *degree*  $\deg L \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$J := \{\text{line bundles of degree } g - 1 \text{ on } C\}$

$J \cong J^0$  (the **Jacobian** of  $C$ )  $\cong$  complex torus  $\mathbb{C}^g / \Gamma$ .

$\Theta := \{L \in J \mid H^0(L) \neq 0\}$  hypersurface in  $J$  (**theta divisor**).

## Definition

$\{\text{theta functions of order } k\} := H^0(J, \mathcal{O}_J(k\Theta))$

$= \{\text{meromorphic functions on } J \text{ with poles } \leq k\Theta\};$

line bundles trivial on  $\mathbb{C}^g \Rightarrow$  theta functions lift to functions on  $\mathbb{C}^g$ , quasi-periodic w.r.t.  $\Gamma$ .

## Reminder

$L$  line bundle on compact manifold  $X$ ;  $\dim H^0(X, L) < \infty$ .

Rational map  $\varphi_L : \begin{cases} X \dashrightarrow |L|^* \\ x \mapsto s(x) \end{cases}$  where  $|L| := \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L))$ .

defined outside  $\text{Bs } |L| := \bigcap_{s \in H^0(L)} Z(s)$ .

## Back to theta functions :

$\dim H^0(J, \mathcal{O}_J(k\Theta)) = k^g$ ;  $\varphi_{k\Theta} : J \rightarrow |k\Theta|$  embedding for  $k \geq 3$ ;

for  $k = 2$ ,  $\varphi_{2\Theta} : J \rightarrow J/i := K(J) \hookrightarrow |2\Theta|$ ,  $i : L \mapsto K \otimes L^{-1}$ .

Gives explicit description of  $J$  as submanifold of  $\mathbb{P}^N$ ; much is known about its equations, geometry etc.

# Non-abelian theta functions

Line bundles  $\leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ -bundles; replace  $\mathbb{C}^*$  by arbitrary semi-simple algebraic group  $G$ .

$\mathcal{M}_G :=$  moduli space of (semi-stable)  $G$ -bundles on  $C$ .

(For classical groups,  $G$ -bundle = vector bundle + quadratic or symplectic form)

$\text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_G) = \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{L}_G]$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_G$  determinant bundle

$G$ -theta functions of level  $k =$  elements of  $H^0(\mathcal{M}_G, \mathcal{L}^k)$

These spaces appear in math. physics, in (at least) 2 ways :

- ① In *topological quantum field theory* :  $H^0(\mathcal{M}_G, \mathcal{L}^k)$  depends essentially only on the topology of  $C$ ;  $C \mapsto H^0(\mathcal{M}_G, \mathcal{L}^k)$  should be a TQFT in the sense of Atiyah.
- ② In *conformal field theory* :  $C \mapsto H^0(\mathcal{M}_G, \mathcal{L}^k)$  is the space of *conformal blocks* for the Wess-Zumino-Witten model.

## Mathematical consequences :

- ① : when  $C$  varies, the  $H^0(\mathcal{M}_G, \mathcal{L}^k)$  form a **projectively flat** vector bundle on the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_g$  (Hitchin connection).  
In other words,  $H^0(\mathcal{M}_G, \mathcal{L}^k)$  carries a (projective) representation of the **modular group**  $\Gamma_g = \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_g)$ .

# The Verlinde formula

② gives the **Verlinde formula** for  $\dim H^0(\mathcal{M}_G, \mathcal{L}^k)$ : for  $G = SL(r)$ :

$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{M}_{SL(r)}, \mathcal{L}^k) = \left(\frac{r}{r+k}\right)^g \sum_{\substack{S \subset [1, r+k] \\ |S|=r}} \prod_{\substack{s \in S \\ t \notin S}} \left| 2 \sin \pi \frac{s-t}{r+k} \right|^{g-1}.$$

(many mathematical proofs by now.)

**Aim of the talk :** understand  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $H^0(\mathcal{M}_G, \mathcal{L})$ , in particular, the rational map  $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{M}_G \dashrightarrow |\mathcal{L}|^*$ .

$$\mathcal{M}_{SL(r)} = \{E \text{ (semi-stable) rank } r \mid \det E = \mathcal{O}_C\} .$$

(semi-stable: every  $E' \subset E$  has degree  $\leq 0$ .)

**Key construction :** associate to  $E \in \mathcal{M}_{SL(r)}$  a divisor on  $J$

$$\Theta_E := \{L \in J \mid H^0(C, E \otimes L) \neq 0\}$$

- either  $\Theta_E$  is a hypersurface in  $J$ ; then  $\Theta_E \in |r\Theta|$  ,
- or  $\Theta_E = J$  :  $E$  has no Theta divisor.

Thus get the **theta map**  $\theta : \mathcal{M}_{SL(r)} \dashrightarrow |r\Theta|$  ,  $\theta(E) = \Theta_E$ .

## Theorem (Narasimhan, Ramanan, AB)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & |\mathcal{L}|^* \\
 & \nearrow \varphi_{\mathcal{L}} & \downarrow \wr \\
 \mathcal{M}_{SL(r)} & \dashrightarrow_{\theta} & |r\Theta|
 \end{array}$$

Hence 
$$H^0(\mathcal{M}_{SL(r)}, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(J, \mathcal{O}_J(r\Theta))^*.$$

CONSEQUENCE :

Indeterminacy locus of  $\theta = \text{Bs } |\mathcal{L}| = \{E \in \mathcal{M}_{SL(r)} \mid \Theta_E = J\}$ .

Examples first constructed by Raynaud, exist for  $r \geq 4$  in any genus (Pauly). One of the major difficulties in the study of  $\theta$ .



## Theorem

- For  $g = 2$ ,  $\theta : \mathcal{M}_{SL(2)} \xrightarrow{\sim} |2\Theta|$  (Narasimhan-Ramanan)
- For  $g \geq 3$ ,  $C$  non hyperelliptic,  $\theta : \mathcal{M}_{SL(2)} \hookrightarrow |2\Theta|$   
(Brivio-Verra + van Geemen-Izadi)
- For  $g \geq 3$ ,  $C$  hyperelliptic,  $\theta$  2-to-1 onto explicit subvariety of  $|2\Theta|$  (Bhosle-Ramanan).

## Example (Narasimhan-Ramanan)

$g = 3$ ,  $C$  non hyperelliptic :  $\mathcal{M}_{SL(2)}$  quartic hypersurface

$\mathcal{Q} \subset |2\Theta| \cong \mathbb{P}^7$ , singular along the Kummer variety  $K(J) \implies$

$\mathcal{Q}$  is the **Coble quartic**.

$$G = SL(r), g(C) = 2$$

In genus 2,  $\dim \mathcal{M}_{SL(r)} = \dim |r\Theta| = r^2 - 1$ .

### Proposition

For  $g = 2$ ,  $\theta$  is generically finite.

NOTE :  $\theta$  is **not** a morphism for  $r \geq 4$ ; some fibres have dimension  $\geq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1$ .

### Example (Ortega)

$(g = 2)$   $\theta : \mathcal{M}_{SL(3)} \rightarrow |3\Theta| \cong \mathbb{P}^8$  is a double covering, branched along a sextic hypersurface  $\mathcal{S} \subset |3\Theta|$ .

$\mathcal{S}^* \subset |3\Theta|^*$  is the **Coble cubic**, the unique cubic hypersurface in  $|3\Theta|$  singular along the image of  $J$ .

# $SO(r)$ versus $O(r)$

$$\mathcal{M}_{O(r)} \cong \{(E, q) \mid E \text{ semi-stable rk } r, q : \text{Sym}^2 E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \text{ non-deg.}\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{SO(r)} \cong \{(E, q, \omega) \mid (E, q) \in \mathcal{M}_{O(r)}, \omega \in H^0(C, \wedge^r E), q(\omega) = 1\}$$

$$\text{Map } \mathcal{M}_{SO(r)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{O(r)}^{\mathcal{O}} := \{(E, q) \in \mathcal{M}_{O(r)} \mid \wedge^r E = \mathcal{O}_C\}.$$

- For  $r$  odd,  $-1 \in \text{Aut}(E, q)$  exchanges  $\omega$  and  $-\omega \Rightarrow$

$$\mathcal{M}_{SO(r)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{O(r)}^{\mathcal{O}}.$$

- For  $r$  even,
- $$\mathcal{M}_{SO(r)} \xrightarrow{2:1} \mathcal{M}_{O(r)}^{\mathcal{O}}.$$

# $O(r)$ versus $GL(r)$

## Theorem (Serman)

*The map  $\mathcal{M}_{O(r)} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{GL(r)}$  is an embedding.*

## Remarks

- 1  $\mathcal{M}_{SO(r)}$  has 2 components  $\mathcal{M}_{SO(r)}^\pm$ , distinguished by the Stiefel-Whitney class  $w_2 \in \{\pm 1\}$ .
- 2 For  $(E, q) \in \mathcal{M}_{O(r)}$ ,  $E \cong E^*$ , hence  $\Theta_E = \Theta_{E^*} = i^* \Theta_E$ , where  $i$  is the involution  $L \mapsto K \otimes L^{-1}$  of  $J$ .

Thus  $\Theta_E \in |r\Theta|^+$  or  $|r\Theta|^-$ , the eigenspaces of  $i^*$  in  $|r\Theta|$ .

## Theorem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{SO(r)}^\pm & \xrightarrow{\theta^\pm} & |r\Theta|^\pm \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}_{SL(r)} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & |r\Theta| \end{array}$$

$$\theta^\pm = \varphi_{\mathcal{L}} \text{ for } \mathcal{M}_{SO(r)}^\pm.$$

$$\text{Hence } H^0(\mathcal{M}_{SO(r)}^\pm, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^0(J, \mathcal{O}_J(r\Theta))^*)^\pm.$$

(Essential ingredient: Verlinde formula for  $SO(r)$ .)

# Example

Example ( $g = 2, r = 3$ )

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{M}_{SO(3)}^- & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{SL(3)} & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{M}_{SO(3)}^+ \\
 \downarrow \theta^- & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \theta^+ \\
 |3\Theta|^- (\cong \mathbb{P}^3) & \hookrightarrow & |3\Theta| (\cong \mathbb{P}^8) & \longleftarrow & |3\Theta^+| (\cong \mathbb{P}^4) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \swarrow \\
 \mathcal{S}^- = \cup H_p & & \mathcal{S} & & \mathcal{Q}
 \end{array}$$

$\mathcal{S} \cap |3\Theta^-| := \mathcal{S}^- =$  union of 6 planes

$\mathcal{S} \cap |3\Theta^+| = \mathcal{Q} + 2H$ ,  $\mathcal{Q} =$  Igusa quartic,  $H = \Theta + |2\Theta| \subset |3\Theta^+|$ .

$$\mathcal{M}_{Sp(2r)} = \{(E, \varphi) \mid E \in \mathcal{M}_{SL(2r)}, \varphi : \wedge^2 E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \text{ non-deg.}\}$$

Again  $\mathcal{M}_{Sp(2r)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{SL(2r)}$  (Serman).

$$E \cong E^* \Rightarrow \Theta_E \in |2r\Theta|^+ \rightsquigarrow \theta : \begin{cases} \mathcal{M}_{Sp(2r)} & \dashrightarrow & |2r\Theta|^+ \\ E & \mapsto & \Theta_E \end{cases}$$

Then  $\mathcal{L}_{Sp(2r)} = \theta^* \mathcal{O}(1)$ , **but**  $\theta^+ \neq \varphi_{\mathcal{L}}$  for  $r \geq 3$ .

(That is,  $(H^0(J, \mathcal{O}_J(2r\Theta)))^+ \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M}_{Sp(2r)}, \mathcal{L})$  **not** bijective)

$H^0(\mathcal{M}_{Sp(2r)}, \mathcal{L})$ 

Replace  $J$  by  $\mathcal{N} := \{F \in \mathcal{M}_{GL(2)} \mid \det F = K_C\} \cong \mathcal{M}_{SL(2)}$   
 and  $\Theta$  by  $\Delta := \{F \in \mathcal{N} \mid H^0(C, F) \neq 0\}$ .

To  $E \in \mathcal{M}_{Sp(2r)}$  associate  $\Delta_E := \{F \in \mathcal{N} \mid H^0(C, E \otimes F) \neq 0\}$ .  
 Then : either  $\Delta_E = \mathcal{N}$ , or  $\Delta_E \in |r\Delta|$ .

## Theorem

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & |\mathcal{L}|^* \\
 & \nearrow \varphi_{\mathcal{L}} & \downarrow \wr \\
 \mathcal{M}_{Sp(2r)} & \xrightarrow{E \mapsto \Delta_E} & |r\Delta|
 \end{array}$$

Hence  $H^0(\mathcal{M}_{Sp(2r)}, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}}(r\Delta))^*$ .

(Proof relies on the **rank-level duality**  $SL(2) - GL(r)$  proved by Marian-Oprea and Belkale.)



**THE END**