

On the minimizing Sard Conjecture in sub-Riemannian geometry

Ludovic Rifford

Université Côte d'Azur & AIMS-Senegal

77th Variational Analysis and Applications
International Centre for Scientific Culture "E. Majorana"
1-7 September 2024

Outline

- I. Introduction to sub-Riemannian geometry
- II. The Minimizing Sard Conjecture
- III. A Partial result
- IV. An Open Question of Nonsmooth Analysis

I. Introduction to sub-Riemannian geometry

Sub-Riemannian structures

Let M be a smooth connected manifold of dimension n .

Definition

A **sub-Riemannian structure** of rank $m \leq n$ on M is given by a pair (Δ, g) where:

- Δ is a **totally nonholonomic distribution** of rank $m \leq n$ on M which is defined locally by

$$\Delta(x) = \text{Span} \left\{ X^1(x), \dots, X^m(x) \right\} \subset T_x M,$$

where X^1, \dots, X^m is a family of m linearly independent smooth vector fields satisfying the **Hörmander condition**;

- g is smooth and for every $x \in M$, g_x is a **scalar product** over $\Delta(x)$.

The Hörmander condition

We say that a family of smooth vector fields X^1, \dots, X^m , satisfies the **Hörmander condition** if

$$\text{Lie} \{X^1, \dots, X^m\} (x) = T_x M \quad \forall x,$$

where $\text{Lie}\{X^1, \dots, X^m\}$ denotes the Lie algebra generated by X^1, \dots, X^m , *i.e.* the smallest subspace of smooth vector fields that contains all the X^1, \dots, X^m and which is stable under Lie brackets.

Reminder

Given smooth vector fields X, Y in \mathbb{R}^n , the Lie bracket $[X, Y]$ at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by


$$[X, Y](x) = DY(x)X(x) - DX(x)Y(x).$$

Lie Bracket: Dynamic Viewpoint

Remark

There holds

$$[X, Y](x) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{(e^{-tY} \circ e^{-tX} \circ e^{tY} \circ e^{tX})(x) - x}{t^2}.$$



The Chow-Rashevsky Theorem

Definition

We call **horizontal path** any $\gamma \in W^{1,2}([0, 1]; M)$ such that

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) \in \Delta(\gamma(t)) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, 1].$$

The following result is the cornerstone of sub-Riemannian geometry. (Recall that M is assumed to be connected.)

Theorem (Chow-Rashevsky, 1938)

Let Δ be a totally nonholonomic distribution on M , then every pair of points can be joined by an horizontal path.

Since the distribution is equipped with a metric, we can measure the lengths of horizontal paths and consequently we can associate a metric with the sub-Riemannian structure.

Examples of sub-Riemannian structures


Example: Riemannian case

Every Riemannian manifold (M, g) gives rise to a sub-Riemannian structure with $\Delta = TM$.

Example: Heisenberg

In \mathbb{R}^3 , $\Delta = \text{Span}\{X^1, X^2\}$ with

$$X^1 = \partial_x, \quad X^2 = \partial_y + x\partial_z \quad \text{et} \quad g = dx^2 + dy^2.$$



Other examples of sub-Riemannian structures

Example: Martinet

In \mathbb{R}^3 , $\Delta = \text{Span}\{X^1, X^2\}$ with

$$X^1 = \partial_x, \quad X^2 = \partial_y + x^2 \partial_z.$$

Since $[X^1, X^2] = 2x\partial_z$ and $[X^1, [X^1, X^2]] = 2\partial_z$, only one bracket is sufficient to generate \mathbb{R}^3 if $x \neq 0$, however we need two brackets if $x = 0$.

Example: Rank 2 distribution in dimension 4

In \mathbb{R}^4 , $\Delta = \text{Span}\{X^1, X^2\}$ with

$$X^1 = \partial_x, \quad X^2 = \partial_y + x\partial_z + z\partial_w$$

satisfies $\text{Vect}\{X^1, X^2, [X^1, X^2], [[X^1, X^2], X^2]\} = \mathbb{R}^4$.

The sub-Riemannian distance

The **length** of an horizontal path γ is defined by

$$\text{length}^g(\gamma) := \int_0^T |\dot{\gamma}(t)|_{\gamma(t)}^g dt.$$

Definition

Given $x, y \in M$, the **sub-Riemannian distance** between x and y is defined by

$$d_{SR}(x, y) := \inf \left\{ \text{length}^g(\gamma) \mid \gamma \text{ hor.}, \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y \right\}.$$

Proposition

The manifold M equipped with the distance d_{SR} is a metric space whose topology coincides with the one of M (as a manifold).

Sub-Riemannian geodesics

Definition

Given $x, y \in M$, we call **minimizing horizontal path** between x and y any horizontal path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ joining x to y satisfying $d_{SR}(x, y) = \text{length}^g(\gamma)$.

The **energy** of the horizontal path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ is given by

$$\text{ener}^g(\gamma) := \int_0^1 \left(|\dot{\gamma}(t)|_{\gamma(t)}^g \right)^2 dt.$$

Definition

We call **minimizing geodesic** between x and y any horizontal path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ joining x to y such that

$$d_{SR}(x, y)^2 = \text{ener}^g(\gamma).$$


(M, d_{SR}) gives existence of minimizing geodesics.

II. The Minimizing Sard Conjecture

Study of minimizing geodesics I

Let $x, y \in M$ and $\bar{\gamma}$ be a **minimizing geodesic** between x and y be fixed. The SR structure admits an orthonormal parametrization along $\bar{\gamma}$, which means that there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{V} of $\bar{\gamma}([0, 1])$ and an orthonormal family of m vector fields X^1, \dots, X^m such that

$$\Delta(z) = \text{Span}\{X^1(z), \dots, X^m(z)\} \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{V}.$$



Study of minimizing geodesics II

There exists a control $\bar{u} \in L^2([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{u}_i(t) X^i(\bar{\gamma}(t)) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, 1].$$

Moreover, any control $u \in \mathcal{U} \subset L^2([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^m)$ (u sufficiently close to \bar{u}) gives rise to a trajectory γ_u solution of

$$\dot{\gamma}_u = \sum_{i=1}^m u^i X^i(\gamma_u) \quad \text{sur } [0, T], \quad \gamma_u(0) = x.$$

Furthermore, for every horizontal path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ there exists a unique control $u \in L^2([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^m)$ for which the above equation is satisfied.

Study of minimizing geodesics III

Consider the **End-Point mapping**

$$E^{x,1} : L^2([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^m) \longrightarrow M$$

defined by

$$E^{x,1}(u) := \gamma_u(1),$$

and set $C(u) = \|u\|_{L^2}^2$, then \bar{u} is a solution to the following **optimization problem with constraints**:

\bar{u} minimize $C(u)$ among all $u \in \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $E^{x,1}(u) = y$.

(Since the family X^1, \dots, X^m is orthonormal, we have

$$\text{ener}^g(\gamma_u) = C(u) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}.)$$

Study of minimizing geodesics IV

Proposition (Lagrange Multipliers)

There exist $p \in T_y^*M \simeq (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ and $\lambda_0 \in \{0, 1\}$ with $(\lambda_0, p) \neq (0, 0)$ such that

$$p \cdot d_{\bar{u}}E^{x,1} = \lambda_0 d_{\bar{u}}C.$$

As a matter of fact, the function given by

$$\Phi(u) := (C(u), E^{x,1}(u))$$

cannot be a submersion at \bar{u} . Otherwise $D_{\bar{u}}\Phi$ would be surjective and so open at \bar{u} , which means that the image of Φ would contain some points of the form $(C(\bar{u}) - \delta, y)$ with $\delta > 0$ small.

\rightsquigarrow Two cases may appear: $\lambda_0 = 1$ or $\lambda_0 = 0$.

Study of minimizing geodesics V

First case : $\lambda_0 = 1$

This is the good case, the Riemannian-like case. The minimizing geodesic can be shown to be solution of a "geodesic equation". In fact, it is the projection of a **normal extremal**. It is smooth, there is a "geodesic flow" ...

Second case : $\lambda_0 = 0$

In this case, we have

$$p \cdot D_{\bar{u}} E^{x,1} = 0 \text{ with } p \neq 0,$$

which means that \bar{u} is **singular** as a critical point of the mapping $E^{x,1}$.

↔ As shown by R. Montgomery, the case $\lambda_0 = 0$ cannot be ruled out.

Singular horizontal paths and Examples

Definition

An horizontal path is called **singular** if it is, through the correspondence $\gamma \leftrightarrow u$, a critical point of the End-Point mapping $E^{x,1} : L^2 \rightarrow M$.

Example: Riemannian case

Let $\Delta(x) = T_x M$, any path in $W^{1,2}$ is horizontal. There are no singular curves.

Example: Heisenberg, fat distributions

In \mathbb{R}^3 , Δ given by $X^1 = \partial_x, X^2 = \partial_y + x\partial_z$ does not admit nontrivial singular horizontal paths.

Martinet-like distributions

In \mathbb{R}^3 , let $\Delta = \text{Vect}\{X^1, X^2\}$ with X^1, X^2 of the form

$$X^1 = \partial_{x_1} \quad \text{and} \quad X^2 = (1 + x_1\phi(x)) \partial_{x_2} + x_1^2 \partial_{x_3},$$

where ϕ is a smooth function and let g be a metric over Δ .

Theorem (Montgomery, 1991)

There exists $\bar{\epsilon} > 0$ such that for every $\epsilon \in (0, \bar{\epsilon})$, the singular horizontal path

$$\gamma(t) = (0, t, 0) \quad \forall t \in [0, \epsilon],$$

is minimizing (w.r.t. g) among all horizontal paths joining 0 to $(0, \epsilon, 0)$. Moreover, if $\{X^1, X^2\}$ is orthonormal w.r.t. g and $\phi(0) \neq 0$, then γ is not the projection of a normal extremal ($\lambda_0 = 1$).

Summary

Given a complete sub-Riemannian structure (Δ, g) on M and a minimizing geodesic γ from x to y , two cases may happen:

- The geodesic γ is the projection of a normal extremal so it is smooth..
- The geodesic γ is a singular curve and could be non-smooth..

Questions:

When? How many? How?

The Sard Conjecture

Given $x \in M$, we denote by Sing_Δ^x the set of points $y \in M$ for which there is a **singular horizontal path** joining x to y , it is a closed subset of M containing x .

Sard Conjecture

The set Sing_Δ^x has Lebesgue measure zero in M .

The result is known in very few cases:

- Rank 2 in dimension 3 (much stronger result by Belotto, Figalli, Parusinski, R).
- Some cases of Carnot groups.

The Minimizing Sard Conjecture

Given $x \in M$, we denote by $\text{Abn}^{\text{min}}(x)$ (or $\text{Sing}_{\Delta, g}^{x, \text{min}}$) the set of points $y \in M$ for which there is a **singular minimizing geodesic** joining x to y , it is a closed subset of M containing x .

Minimizing Sard Conjecture

For every $x \in M$, the set $\text{Abn}^{\text{min}}(x)$ has Lebesgue measure zero in M .

Only few cases are known. In general, we have

Theorem (Agrachev, 2009)

Let M be a smooth connected manifold of dimension n equipped with a complete sub-Riemannian structure (Δ, g) . Then for every $x \in M$, the closed set $\text{Abn}^{\text{min}}(x)$ has empty interior.

III. A Partial result

A Partial result

Theorem (R, 2023)

Let M be equipped with a complete SR structure (Δ, g) and $x \in M$ be fixed. If for almost every $y \in M$ all minimizing horizontal paths from x to y have Goh-rank at most 1, then the closed set $\text{Abn}^{\min}(x)$ has Lebesgue measure zero in M .

Corollary (R, 2023)

If M is equipped with a complete SR structure (Δ, g) having minimizing co-rank 1 almost everywhere, then the Minimizing Sard Conjecture holds true.

Corollary (R, 2023)

If M is equipped with a complete SR structure (Δ, g) of rank $m \geq 2$ where Δ is generic, then the Minimizing Sard Conjecture holds true.

Sketch of proof I

Let (Δ, g) be a SR structure and $x \in M$ be fixed, we define $f_x : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f_x(y) := \frac{1}{2} d_{SR}(x, y)^2$$

The following properties are equivalent:

- The set $\text{Abn}^{\min}(x)$ has Lebesgue measure zero in M .
- For a.e. $y \in M$, $\partial^- f_x(y) \neq \emptyset$.
- The set $\text{Lip}^-(f_x)$ has full Lebesgue measure in M .
- The function f_x is smooth on an open subset of M of full Lebesgue measure.

Sketch of proof II

Roughly speaking, our assumptions allow to show that f_x is Lipschitz along an hyperplane at each $y \neq x$. The main ingredient of the proof is the:

Proposition

Let $\varphi : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then, for a.e. $x \in (a, b)$, at least one of the following properties is satisfied:

- (i) φ is differentiable at x .
- (ii) There is a sequence $\{x_k\}$ converging to x such that $0 \in \partial^- \varphi(x_k)$ for all k .

In fact, we need a more precise version of (ii), which is given by the Denjoy-Young Saks Theorem.

The Denjoy-Young-Saks Theorem

Theorem

Let $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then for a.e. $x \in (a, b)$, one of the following assertion holds:

- (1) f is differentiable at x ,
- (2) $D^+f(x) = D^-f(x) = +\infty$, $D_+f(x) = D_-f(x) = -\infty$,
- (3) $D^+f(x) = +\infty$, $D_-f(x) = -\infty$, $D_+f(x) = D^-f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (4) $D^-f(x) = +\infty$, $D_+f(x) = -\infty$, $D_-f(x) = D^+f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$.

Here, D^+f , D_+f , D^-f , D_-f stand for the Dini derivatives of f defined by ($T_{c,d} := (f(d) - f(c))/(d - c)$)

$$D^+f(x) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} T_{x,x+h}, \quad D_+f(x) = \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0^+} T_{x,x+h},$$

$$D_-f(x) = \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0^+} T_{x-h,x}, \quad D^-f(x) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} T_{x-h,x}$$

IV. An Open Question of Nonsmooth Analysis

The SR exponential mapping

Let (Δ, g) be a SR structure and $x \in M$ be fixed, define $f_x : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f_x(y) := \frac{1}{2} d_{SR}(x, y)^2$$

Proposition (R-Trélat, 2005)

Given $y \neq x$, the following properties are satisfied:

- If $\partial^- f_x(y) \neq \emptyset$ then there is a unique minimizing geodesic between x and y and it is the projection of a normal extremal.
- If $\partial_L^- f_x(y) \neq \emptyset$ then there is at least one minimizing geodesic which is the projection of a normal extremal.

\rightsquigarrow The above properties can be expressed in term of the sub-Riemannian exponential mapping $\exp_x : T_x^* M \rightarrow M$.

A Conjecture and an open question

Conjecture (image of the SR exponential mapping)

Let M be a smooth connected manifold of dimension n equipped with a complete sub-Riemannian structure (Δ, g) . Then for every $x \in M$, the set

$$\exp_x(T_x^*M)$$

has full Lebesgue measure in M .

Open Question

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Can we have

$$\partial_L^- f(x) = \emptyset$$

for x in a set of positive Lebesgue measure ?

Thank you for your attention !!