

Perfect moment categories, cocartesian comonads and Joyal duality

Clemens Berger

Université Côte d'Azur

CT 2024

Santiago de Compostela

June 23–29, 2024

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Moment categories
- 3 Perfect moment categories
- 4 Strongly cocartesian comonads
- 5 Joyal duality

Purpose of the talk

(perfect moment categories) $\overset{\text{calculus}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (strongly cocartesian comonads)
 (strongly cocartesian comonads) $\overset{\text{duality}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (strongly cartesian monads)
 (strongly cartesian monads) $\overset{\text{Barwick}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (perfect operator categories)

Example (Segal and Joyal duality)

- $\Gamma^{\text{op}} \cong$ (category of finite sets and partial maps)
- $\Delta^{\text{op}} \cong \Delta_{*,*}[-1] =$ (category of combinatorial 1-disks)
- $\Theta_n^{\text{op}} \cong \mathbb{D}_n =$ (category of combinatorial n-disks)

Purpose of the talk

(perfect moment categories) $\overset{\text{calculus}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (strongly cocartesian comonads)
 (strongly cocartesian comonads) $\overset{\text{duality}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (strongly cartesian monads)
 (strongly cartesian monads) $\overset{\text{Barwick}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (perfect operator categories)

Example (Segal and Joyal duality)

- $\Gamma^{\text{op}} \cong$ (category of finite sets and partial maps)
- $\Delta^{\text{op}} \cong \Delta_{*,*}[-1] =$ (category of combinatorial 1-disks)
- $\Theta_n^{\text{op}} \cong \mathbb{D}_n =$ (category of combinatorial n-disks)

Purpose of the talk

(perfect moment categories) $\overset{\text{calculus}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (strongly cocartesian comonads)
 (strongly cocartesian comonads) $\overset{\text{duality}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (strongly cartesian monads)
 (strongly cartesian monads) $\overset{\text{Barwick}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (perfect operator categories)

Example (Segal and Joyal duality)

- $\Gamma^{\text{op}} \cong$ (category of finite sets and partial maps)
- $\Delta^{\text{op}} \cong \Delta_{*,*}[-1] =$ (category of combinatorial 1-disks)
- $\Theta_n^{\text{op}} \cong \mathbb{D}_n =$ (category of combinatorial n-disks)

Purpose of the talk

(perfect moment categories) $\overset{\text{calculus}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (strongly cocartesian comonads)
 (strongly cocartesian comonads) $\overset{\text{duality}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (strongly cartesian monads)
 (strongly cartesian monads) $\overset{\text{Barwick}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (perfect operator categories)

Example (Segal and Joyal duality)

- $\Gamma^{\text{op}} \cong$ (category of finite sets and partial maps)
- $\Delta^{\text{op}} \cong \Delta_{*,*}[-1] =$ (category of combinatorial 1-disks)
- $\Theta_n^{\text{op}} \cong \mathbb{D}_n =$ (category of combinatorial n-disks)

Purpose of the talk

(perfect moment categories) $\overset{\text{calculus}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (strongly cocartesian comonads)
 (strongly cocartesian comonads) $\overset{\text{duality}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (strongly cartesian monads)
 (strongly cartesian monads) $\overset{\text{Barwick}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (perfect operator categories)

Example (Segal and Joyal duality)

- $\Gamma^{\text{op}} \cong$ (category of finite sets and partial maps)
- $\Delta^{\text{op}} \cong \Delta_{*,*}[-1] =$ (category of combinatorial 1-disks)
- $\Theta_n^{\text{op}} \cong \mathbb{D}_n =$ (category of combinatorial n-disks)

Purpose of the talk

(perfect moment categories) $\overset{\text{calculus}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (strongly cocartesian comonads)
 (strongly cocartesian comonads) $\overset{\text{duality}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (strongly cartesian monads)
 (strongly cartesian monads) $\overset{\text{Barwick}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (perfect operator categories)

Example (Segal and Joyal duality)

- $\Gamma^{\text{op}} \cong$ (category of finite sets and partial maps)
- $\Delta^{\text{op}} \cong \Delta_{*,*}[-1] =$ (category of combinatorial 1-disks)
- $\Theta_n^{\text{op}} \cong \mathbb{D}_n =$ (category of combinatorial n-disks)

Purpose of the talk

(perfect moment categories) $\overset{\text{calculus}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (strongly cocartesian comonads)
 (strongly cocartesian comonads) $\overset{\text{duality}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (strongly cartesian monads)
 (strongly cartesian monads) $\overset{\text{Barwick}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (perfect operator categories)

Example (Segal and Joyal duality)

- $\Gamma^{\text{op}} \cong$ (category of finite sets and partial maps)
- $\Delta^{\text{op}} \cong \Delta_{*,*}[-1] =$ (category of combinatorial 1-disks)
- $\Theta_n^{\text{op}} \cong \mathbb{D}_n =$ (category of combinatorial n-disks)

Purpose of the talk

(perfect moment categories) $\overset{\text{calculus}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (strongly cocartesian comonads)
 (strongly cocartesian comonads) $\overset{\text{duality}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (strongly cartesian monads)
 (strongly cartesian monads) $\overset{\text{Barwick}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (perfect operator categories)

Example (Segal and Joyal duality)

- $\Gamma^{\text{op}} \cong$ (category of finite sets and partial maps)
- $\Delta^{\text{op}} \cong \Delta_{*,*}[-1] =$ (category of combinatorial 1-disks)
- $\Theta_n^{\text{op}} \cong \mathbb{D}_n =$ (category of combinatorial n-disks)

Purpose of the talk

(perfect moment categories) $\overset{\text{calculus}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (strongly cocartesian comonads)
 (strongly cocartesian comonads) $\overset{\text{duality}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (strongly cartesian monads)
 (strongly cartesian monads) $\overset{\text{Barwick}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ (perfect operator categories)

Example (Segal and Joyal duality)

- $\Gamma^{\text{op}} \cong$ (category of finite sets and partial maps)
- $\Delta^{\text{op}} \cong \Delta_{*,*}[-1] =$ (category of combinatorial 1-disks)
- $\Theta_n^{\text{op}} \cong \mathbb{D}_n =$ (category of combinatorial n-disks)

Definition (A moment category \mathcal{C} is a category with an)

active/inert factorization system $f = f_{in}f_{act}$ such that

- each inert map admits a unique active retraction;
- if $fi = g$ for i inert and f, g active, then $gr = f$ where r is the unique active retraction of i .

$m_A = \{\phi : A \rightarrow A \mid \phi_{act}\phi_{in} = 1_A\}$ = the set of *moments* of A .

Proposition (pushing forward moments)

For $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} there is $f_* : m_A \rightarrow m_B$ such that:

- $\phi_*(\psi) = \phi\psi \quad (\forall \phi, \psi \in m_A)$
- $(gf)_* = g_*f_* \quad (\forall A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C)$
- $f\phi = f_*(\phi)f \quad (\forall \phi \in m_A, f : A \rightarrow B)$

Definition (A moment category \mathcal{C} is a category with an)

active/inert factorization system $f = f_{in}f_{act}$ such that

- each inert map admits a unique active retraction;
- if $fi = g$ for i inert and f, g active, then $gr = f$ where r is the unique active retraction of i .

$m_A = \{\phi : A \rightarrow A \mid \phi_{act}\phi_{in} = 1_A\}$ = the set of *moments* of A .

Proposition (pushing forward moments)

For $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} there is $f_* : m_A \rightarrow m_B$ such that:

- $\phi_*(\psi) = \phi\psi \quad (\forall \phi, \psi \in m_A)$
- $(gf)_* = g_*f_* \quad (\forall A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C)$
- $f\phi = f_*(\phi)f \quad (\forall \phi \in m_A, f : A \rightarrow B)$

Definition (A moment category \mathcal{C} is a category with an)

active/inert factorization system $f = f_{in}f_{act}$ such that

- each inert map admits a unique active retraction;
- if $fi = g$ for i inert and f, g active, then $gr = f$ where r is the unique active retraction of i .

$m_A = \{\phi : A \rightarrow A \mid \phi_{act}\phi_{in} = 1_A\}$ = the set of *moments* of A .

Proposition (pushing forward moments)

For $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} there is $f_* : m_A \rightarrow m_B$ such that:

- $\phi_*(\psi) = \phi\psi \quad (\forall \phi, \psi \in m_A)$
- $(gf)_* = g_*f_* \quad (\forall A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C)$
- $f\phi = f_*(\phi)f \quad (\forall \phi \in m_A, f : A \rightarrow B)$

Definition (A moment category \mathcal{C} is a category with an)

active/inert factorization system $f = f_{in}f_{act}$ such that

- each inert map admits a unique active retraction;
- if $fi = g$ for i inert and f, g active, then $gr = f$ where r is the unique active retraction of i .

$m_A = \{\phi : A \rightarrow A \mid \phi_{act}\phi_{in} = 1_A\}$ = the set of *moments* of A .

Proposition (pushing forward moments)

For $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} there is $f_* : m_A \rightarrow m_B$ such that:

- $\phi_*(\psi) = \phi\psi \quad (\forall \phi, \psi \in m_A)$
- $(gf)_* = g_*f_* \quad (\forall A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C)$
- $f\phi = f_*(\phi)f \quad (\forall \phi \in m_A, f : A \rightarrow B)$

Definition (A moment category \mathcal{C} is a category with an)

active/inert factorization system $f = f_{in}f_{act}$ such that

- each inert map admits a unique active retraction;
- if $fi = g$ for i inert and f, g active, then $gr = f$ where r is the unique active retraction of i .

$m_A = \{\phi : A \rightarrow A \mid \phi_{act}\phi_{in} = 1_A\}$ = the set of *moments* of A .

Proposition (pushing forward moments)

For $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} there is $f_* : m_A \rightarrow m_B$ such that:

- $\phi_*(\psi) = \phi\psi \quad (\forall \phi, \psi \in m_A)$
- $(gf)_* = g_*f_* \quad (\forall A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C)$
- $f\phi = f_*(\phi)f \quad (\forall \phi \in m_A, f : A \rightarrow B)$

Definition (A moment category \mathcal{C} is a category with an)

active/inert factorization system $f = f_{in}f_{act}$ such that

- each inert map admits a unique active retraction;
- if $fi = g$ for i inert and f, g active, then $gr = f$ where r is the unique active retraction of i .

$m_A = \{\phi : A \rightarrow A \mid \phi_{act}\phi_{in} = 1_A\}$ = the set of *moments* of A .

Proposition (pushing forward moments)

For $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} there is $f_* : m_A \rightarrow m_B$ such that:

- 1 $\phi_*(\psi) = \phi\psi \quad (\forall \phi, \psi \in m_A)$
- 2 $(gf)_* = g_*f_* \quad (\forall A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C)$
- 3 $f\phi = f_*(\phi)f \quad (\forall \phi \in m_A, f : A \rightarrow B)$

Definition (A moment category \mathcal{C} is a category with an)

active/inert factorization system $f = f_{in}f_{act}$ such that

- each inert map admits a unique active retraction;
- if $fi = g$ for i inert and f, g active, then $gr = f$ where r is the unique active retraction of i .

$m_A = \{\phi : A \rightarrow A \mid \phi_{act}\phi_{in} = 1_A\}$ = the set of *moments* of A .

Proposition (pushing forward moments)

For $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} there is $f_* : m_A \rightarrow m_B$ such that:

- 1 $\phi_*(\psi) = \phi\psi \quad (\forall \phi, \psi \in m_A)$
- 2 $(gf)_* = g_*f_* \quad (\forall A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C)$
- 3 $f\phi = f_*(\phi)f \quad (\forall \phi \in m_A, f : A \rightarrow B)$

Definition (A moment category \mathcal{C} is a category with an)

active/inert factorization system $f = f_{in}f_{act}$ such that

- each inert map admits a unique active retraction;
- if $fi = g$ for i inert and f, g active, then $gr = f$ where r is the unique active retraction of i .

$m_A = \{\phi : A \rightarrow A \mid \phi_{act}\phi_{in} = 1_A\}$ = the set of *moments* of A .

Proposition (pushing forward moments)

For $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} there is $f_* : m_A \rightarrow m_B$ such that:

- 1 $\phi_*(\psi) = \phi\psi \quad (\forall \phi, \psi \in m_A)$
- 2 $(gf)_* = g_*f_* \quad (\forall A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C)$
- 3 $f\phi = f_*(\phi)f \quad (\forall \phi \in m_A, f : A \rightarrow B)$

Definition (A moment category \mathcal{C} is a category with an)

active/inert factorization system $f = f_{in}f_{act}$ such that

- each inert map admits a unique active retraction;
- if $fi = g$ for i inert and f, g active, then $gr = f$ where r is the unique active retraction of i .

$m_A = \{\phi : A \rightarrow A \mid \phi_{act}\phi_{in} = 1_A\}$ = the set of *moments* of A .

Proposition (pushing forward moments)

For $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} there is $f_* : m_A \rightarrow m_B$ such that:

- 1 $\phi_*(\psi) = \phi\psi \quad (\forall \phi, \psi \in m_A)$
- 2 $(gf)_* = g_*f_* \quad (\forall A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C)$
- 3 $f\phi = f_*(\phi)f \quad (\forall \phi \in m_A, f : A \rightarrow B)$

Proof.

For $f : A \rightarrow B$ define $f_* : m_A \rightarrow m_B$ by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\
 \downarrow \phi_{act} & & \downarrow \psi_{act} \\
 \uparrow \phi_{in} & & \uparrow \psi_{in} \\
 A_\phi & \xrightarrow{f'} & B_\psi
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{with} \quad f_*(\phi_{in}\phi_{act}) = \psi_{in}\psi_{act}.$$

□

Remark

- (1), (3) imply m_A is a left regular band: $\psi\phi = \psi_*(\phi)\psi = \psi\phi\psi$.
- (1), (2), (3) imply $f_*(\phi\psi) = f_*(\phi)f_*(\psi)$.
- $f_*(1_A) = 1_B$ if and only if f is active.
- If f is active then the outer square above is cocartesian in \mathcal{C}_{act} .

Proof.

For $f : A \rightarrow B$ define $f_* : m_A \rightarrow m_B$ by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\
 \downarrow \phi_{act} & & \downarrow \psi_{act} \\
 \uparrow \phi_{in} & & \uparrow \psi_{in} \\
 A_\phi & \xrightarrow{f'} & B_\psi
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{with} \quad f_*(\phi_{in}\phi_{act}) = \psi_{in}\psi_{act}.$$

□

Remark

- ① (1), (3) imply m_A is a *left regular band*: $\psi\phi = \psi_*(\phi)\psi = \psi\phi\psi$.
- ② (1), (2), (3) imply $f_*(\phi\psi) = f_*(\phi)f_*(\psi)$.
- ③ $f_*(1_A) = 1_B$ if and only if f is *active*.
- ④ If f is active then the outer square above is cocartesian in \mathcal{C}_{act} .

Proof.

For $f : A \rightarrow B$ define $f_* : m_A \rightarrow m_B$ by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\
 \downarrow \phi_{act} & & \downarrow \psi_{act} \\
 \uparrow \phi_{in} & & \uparrow \psi_{in} \\
 A_\phi & \xrightarrow{f'} & B_\psi
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{with} \quad f_*(\phi_{in}\phi_{act}) = \psi_{in}\psi_{act}.$$

□

Remark

- ① (1), (3) imply m_A is a *left regular band*: $\psi\phi = \psi_*(\phi)\psi = \psi\phi\psi$.
- ② (1), (2), (3) imply $f_*(\phi\psi) = f_*(\phi)f_*(\psi)$.
- ③ $f_*(1_A) = 1_B$ if and only if f is *active*.
- ④ If f is active then the outer square above is cocartesian in \mathcal{C}_{act} .

Proof.

For $f : A \rightarrow B$ define $f_* : m_A \rightarrow m_B$ by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\
 \downarrow \phi_{act} & & \downarrow \psi_{act} \\
 \uparrow \phi_{in} & & \uparrow \psi_{in} \\
 A_\phi & \xrightarrow{f'} & B_\psi
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{with} \quad f_*(\phi_{in}\phi_{act}) = \psi_{in}\psi_{act}.$$

□

Remark

- ① (1), (3) imply m_A is a *left regular band*: $\psi\phi = \psi_*(\phi)\psi = \psi\phi\psi$.
- ② (1), (2), (3) imply $f_*(\phi\psi) = f_*(\phi)f_*(\psi)$.
- ③ $f_*(1_A) = 1_B$ if and only if f is *active*.
- ④ If f is active then the outer square above is cocartesian in \mathcal{C}_{act} .

Proof.

For $f : A \rightarrow B$ define $f_* : m_A \rightarrow m_B$ by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\
 \downarrow \phi_{act} & & \uparrow \psi_{in} \\
 \uparrow \phi_{in} & & \downarrow \psi_{act} \\
 A_\phi & \xrightarrow{f'} & B_\psi
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{with} \quad f_*(\phi_{in}\phi_{act}) = \psi_{in}\psi_{act}.$$

□

Remark

- ① (1), (3) imply m_A is a *left regular band*: $\psi\phi = \psi_*(\phi)\psi = \psi\phi\psi$.
- ② (1), (2), (3) imply $f_*(\phi\psi) = f_*(\phi)f_*(\psi)$.
- ③ $f_*(1_A) = 1_B$ if and only if f is *active*.
- ④ If f is active then the outer square above is cocartesian in \mathcal{C}_{act} .

Proof.

For $f : A \rightarrow B$ define $f_* : m_A \rightarrow m_B$ by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\
 \downarrow \phi_{act} & & \downarrow \psi_{act} \\
 \uparrow \phi_{in} & & \uparrow \psi_{in} \\
 A_\phi & \xrightarrow{f'} & B_\psi
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{with} \quad f_*(\phi_{in}\phi_{act}) = \psi_{in}\psi_{act}.$$

□

Remark

- ① (1), (3) imply m_A is a *left regular band*: $\psi\phi = \psi_*(\phi)\psi = \psi\phi\psi$.
- ② (1), (2), (3) imply $f_*(\phi\psi) = f_*(\phi)f_*(\psi)$.
- ③ $f_*(1_A) = 1_B$ if and only if f is *active*.
- ④ If f is active then the outer square above is cocartesian in \mathcal{C}_{act} .

Example (simplex category Δ and Segal's category Γ)

- $[m] \xrightarrow{\phi} [n]$ is active/inert iff ϕ endpoint/distance -preserving.
- $\underline{m} \xrightarrow{\binom{n_1, \dots, n_m}{\gamma}} \underline{n}$ active/inert iff $\underline{n}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \underline{n}_m = \underline{n} / |\underline{n}_i| = 1 \forall i$.

Example (moment vs restriction categories – Cockett-Lack)

The dual of a restriction category with *splitting restriction idempotents* is a moment category with *commuting moments*.

The dual of the category of finite sets and partial maps is Γ .

Definition (wreath product of moment categories, cf. Barr-Wells)

For a moment category \mathcal{C} the wreath-product $\Delta \wr \mathcal{C}$ has as

- objects $([n], (C_1, \dots, C_n))$ with $[n] \in \Delta$ and $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$
- maps $(\phi : [n] \rightarrow [n'], f_{ij} : C_i \rightarrow C'_j)$ for $\phi(i-1) < j \leq \phi(i)$.

Example (simplex category Δ and Segal's category Γ)

- $[m] \xrightarrow{\phi} [n]$ is active/inert iff ϕ endpoint/distance -preserving.
- $\underline{m} \xrightarrow{\binom{n_1, \dots, n_m}{\underline{\gamma}}} \underline{n}$ active/inert iff $\underline{n}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \underline{n}_m = \underline{n} / |\underline{n}_i| = 1 \forall i$.

Example (moment vs restriction categories – Cockett-Lack)

The dual of a restriction category with *splitting restriction idempotents* is a moment category with *commuting moments*.

The dual of the category of finite sets and partial maps is Γ .

Definition (wreath product of moment categories, cf. Barr-Wells)

For a moment category \mathcal{C} the wreath-product $\Delta \wr \mathcal{C}$ has as

- objects $([n], (C_1, \dots, C_n))$ with $[n] \in \Delta$ and $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$
- maps $(\phi : [n] \rightarrow [n'], f_{ij} : C_i \rightarrow C'_j)$ for $\phi(i-1) < j \leq \phi(i)$.

Example (simplex category Δ and Segal's category Γ)

- $[m] \xrightarrow{\phi} [n]$ is active/inert iff ϕ endpoint/distance -preserving.
- $\underline{m} \xrightarrow{(\underline{n}_1, \dots, \underline{n}_m)} \underline{n}$ active/inert iff $\underline{n}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \underline{n}_m = \underline{n} / |\underline{n}_i| = 1 \forall i$.

Example (moment vs restriction categories – Cockett-Lack)

The dual of a restriction category with *splitting restriction idempotents* is a moment category with *commuting moments*.

The dual of the category of finite sets and partial maps is Γ .

Definition (wreath product of moment categories, cf. Barr-Wells)

For a moment category \mathcal{C} the wreath-product $\Delta \wr \mathcal{C}$ has as

- objects $([n], (C_1, \dots, C_n))$ with $[n] \in \Delta$ and $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$
- maps $(\phi : [n] \rightarrow [n'], f_{ij} : C_i \rightarrow C'_j)$ for $\phi(i-1) < j \leq \phi(i)$.

Example (simplex category Δ and Segal's category Γ)

- $[m] \xrightarrow{\phi} [n]$ is active/inert iff ϕ endpoint/distance -preserving.
- $\underline{m} \xrightarrow{(\underline{n}_1, \dots, \underline{n}_m)} \underline{n}$ active/inert iff $\underline{n}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \underline{n}_m = \underline{n} / |\underline{n}_i| = 1 \forall i$.

Example (moment vs restriction categories – Cockett-Lack)

The dual of a restriction category with *splitting restriction idempotents* is a moment category with *commuting moments*.

The dual of the category of finite sets and partial maps is Γ .

Definition (wreath product of moment categories, cf. Barr-Wells)

For a moment category \mathcal{C} the wreath-product $\Delta \wr \mathcal{C}$ has as

- objects $([n], (C_1, \dots, C_n))$ with $[n] \in \Delta$ and $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$
- maps $(\phi : [n] \rightarrow [n'], f_{ij} : C_i \rightarrow C'_j)$ for $\phi(i-1) < j \leq \phi(i)$.

Example (simplex category Δ and Segal's category Γ)

- $[m] \xrightarrow{\phi} [n]$ is active/inert iff ϕ endpoint/distance -preserving.
- $\underline{m} \xrightarrow{(\underline{n}_1, \dots, \underline{n}_m)} \underline{n}$ active/inert iff $\underline{n}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \underline{n}_m = \underline{n} / |\underline{n}_i| = 1 \forall i$.

Example (moment vs restriction categories – Cockett-Lack)

The dual of a restriction category with *splitting restriction idempotents* is a moment category with *commuting moments*.

The dual of the category of finite sets and partial maps is Γ .

Definition (wreath product of moment categories, cf. Barr-Wells)

For a moment category \mathcal{C} the wreath-product $\Delta \wr \mathcal{C}$ has as

- objects $([n], (C_1, \dots, C_n))$ with $[n] \in \Delta$ and $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$
- maps $(\phi : [n] \rightarrow [n'], f_{ij} : C_i \rightarrow C'_j)$ for $\phi(i-1) < j \leq \phi(i)$.

Example (simplex category Δ and Segal's category Γ)

- $[m] \xrightarrow{\phi} [n]$ is active/inert iff ϕ endpoint/distance -preserving.
- $\underline{m} \xrightarrow{(\underline{n}_1, \dots, \underline{n}_m)} \underline{n}$ active/inert iff $\underline{n}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \underline{n}_m = \underline{n} / |\underline{n}_i| = 1 \forall i$.

Example (moment vs restriction categories – Cockett-Lack)

The dual of a restriction category with *splitting restriction idempotents* is a moment category with *commuting moments*.

The dual of the category of finite sets and partial maps is Γ .

Definition (wreath product of moment categories, cf. Barr-Wells)

For a moment category \mathcal{C} the wreath-product $\Delta \wr \mathcal{C}$ has as

- objects $([n], (C_1, \dots, C_n))$ with $[n] \in \Delta$ and $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$
- maps $(\phi : [n] \rightarrow [n'], f_{ij} : C_i \rightarrow C'_j)$ for $\phi(i-1) < j \leq \phi(i)$.

Example (simplex category Δ and Segal's category Γ)

- $[m] \xrightarrow{\phi} [n]$ is active/inert iff ϕ endpoint/distance -preserving.
- $\underline{m} \xrightarrow{(\underline{n}_1, \dots, \underline{n}_m)} \underline{n}$ active/inert iff $\underline{n}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \underline{n}_m = \underline{n} / |\underline{n}_i| = 1 \forall i$.

Example (moment vs restriction categories – Cockett-Lack)

The dual of a restriction category with *splitting restriction idempotents* is a moment category with *commuting moments*.

The dual of the category of finite sets and partial maps is Γ .

Definition (wreath product of moment categories, cf. Barr-Wells)

For a moment category \mathcal{C} the wreath-product $\Delta \wr \mathcal{C}$ has as

- objects $([n], (C_1, \dots, C_n))$ with $[n] \in \Delta$ and $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$
- maps $(\phi : [n] \rightarrow [n'], f_{ij} : C_i \rightarrow C'_j)$ for $\phi(i-1) < j \leq \phi(i)$.

Example (simplex category Δ and Segal's category Γ)

- $[m] \xrightarrow{\phi} [n]$ is active/inert iff ϕ endpoint/distance -preserving.
- $\underline{m} \xrightarrow{(\underline{n}_1, \dots, \underline{n}_m)} \underline{n}$ active/inert iff $\underline{n}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \underline{n}_m = \underline{n} / |\underline{n}_i| = 1 \forall i$.

Example (moment vs restriction categories – Cockett-Lack)

The dual of a restriction category with *splitting restriction idempotents* is a moment category with *commuting moments*.

The dual of the category of finite sets and partial maps is Γ .

Definition (wreath product of moment categories, cf. Barr-Wells)

For a moment category \mathcal{C} the wreath-product $\Delta \wr \mathcal{C}$ has as

- objects $([n], (C_1, \dots, C_n))$ with $[n] \in \Delta$ and $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$
- maps $(\phi : [n] \rightarrow [n'], f_{ij} : C_i \rightarrow C'_j)$ for $\phi(i-1) < j \leq \phi(i)$.

Example (simplex category Δ and Segal's category Γ)

- $[m] \xrightarrow{\phi} [n]$ is active/inert iff ϕ endpoint/distance -preserving.
- $\underline{m} \xrightarrow{(\underline{n}_1, \dots, \underline{n}_m)} \underline{n}$ active/inert iff $\underline{n}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \underline{n}_m = \underline{n} / |\underline{n}_i| = 1 \forall i$.

Example (moment vs restriction categories – Cockett-Lack)

The dual of a restriction category with *splitting restriction idempotents* is a moment category with *commuting moments*.

The dual of the category of finite sets and partial maps is Γ .

Definition (wreath product of moment categories, cf. Barr-Wells)

For a moment category \mathcal{C} the wreath-product $\Delta \wr \mathcal{C}$ has as

- objects $([n], (C_1, \dots, C_n))$ with $[n] \in \Delta$ and $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$
- maps $(\phi : [n] \rightarrow [n'], f_{ij} : C_i \rightarrow C'_j)$ for $\phi(i-1) < j \leq \phi(i)$.

Lemma (Segal, B)

- Δ has an augmentation $\gamma_\Delta : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma : [n] \mapsto \underline{n}$
- If \mathcal{C} is an augmented moment category, then so is $\Delta \wr \mathcal{C}$
- $\Theta_n = \Delta \wr \cdots \wr \Delta$ is an augmented moment category

Proposition (Makkai-Zawadowski, B)

- Θ_n^{inert} embeds fully and densely into the category of n -globular sets, each object of Θ_n being identified with the globular pasting diagram T_* of an n -level tree T .
- Θ_n may be identified with the Kleisli category of Θ_n^{inert} induced by the free-forgetful adjunction between n -globular sets and strict n -categories.
- Θ_n fully and densely embeds into $n\text{Cat}$.

Lemma (Segal, B)

- Δ has an augmentation $\gamma_\Delta : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma : [n] \mapsto \underline{n}$
- If \mathcal{C} is an augmented moment category, then so is $\Delta \wr \mathcal{C}$
- $\Theta_n = \Delta \wr \cdots \wr \Delta$ is an augmented moment category

Proposition (Makkai-Zawadowski, B)

- Θ_n^{inert} embeds fully and densely into the category of n -globular sets, each object of Θ_n being identified with the globular pasting diagram T_* of an n -level tree T .
- Θ_n may be identified with the Kleisli category of Θ_n^{inert} induced by the free-forgetful adjunction between n -globular sets and strict n -categories.
- Θ_n fully and densely embeds into $n\text{Cat}$.

Lemma (Segal, B)

- Δ has an augmentation $\gamma_\Delta : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma : [n] \mapsto \underline{n}$
- If \mathcal{C} is an augmented moment category, then so is $\Delta \wr \mathcal{C}$
- $\Theta_n = \Delta \wr \cdots \wr \Delta$ is an augmented moment category

Proposition (Makkai-Zawadowski, B)

- Θ_n^{inert} embeds fully and densely into the category of n -globular sets, each object of Θ_n being identified with the globular pasting diagram T_* of an n -level tree T .
- Θ_n may be identified with the Kleisli category of Θ_n^{inert} induced by the free-forgetful adjunction between n -globular sets and strict n -categories.
- Θ_n fully and densely embeds into $n\text{Cat}$.

Lemma (Segal, B)

- Δ has an augmentation $\gamma_\Delta : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma : [n] \mapsto \underline{n}$
- If \mathcal{C} is an augmented moment category, then so is $\Delta \wr \mathcal{C}$
- $\Theta_n = \Delta \wr \cdots \wr \Delta$ is an augmented moment category

Proposition (Makkai-Zawadowski, B)

- Θ_n^{inert} embeds fully and densely into the category of n -globular sets, each object of Θ_n being identified with the globular pasting diagram T_* of an n -level tree T .
- Θ_n may be identified with the Kleisli category of Θ_n^{inert} induced by the free-forgetful adjunction between n -globular sets and strict n -categories.
- Θ_n fully and densely embeds into $n\text{Cat}$.

Lemma (Segal, B)

- Δ has an augmentation $\gamma_\Delta : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma : [n] \mapsto \underline{n}$
- If \mathcal{C} is an augmented moment category, then so is $\Delta \wr \mathcal{C}$
- $\Theta_n = \Delta \wr \cdots \wr \Delta$ is an augmented moment category

Proposition (Makkai-Zawadowski, B)

- Θ_n^{inert} embeds fully and densely into the category of n -globular sets, each object of Θ_n being identified with the globular pasting diagram T_* of an n -level tree T .
- Θ_n may be identified with the Kleisli category of Θ_n^{inert} induced by the free-forgetful adjunction between n -globular sets and strict n -categories.
- Θ_n fully and densely embeds into $n\text{Cat}$.

Lemma (Segal, B)

- Δ has an augmentation $\gamma_\Delta : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma : [n] \mapsto \underline{n}$
- If \mathcal{C} is an augmented moment category, then so is $\Delta \wr \mathcal{C}$
- $\Theta_n = \Delta \wr \cdots \wr \Delta$ is an augmented moment category

Proposition (Makkai-Zawadowski, B)

- Θ_n^{inert} embeds fully and densely into the category of n -globular sets, each object of Θ_n being identified with the globular pasting diagram T_* of an n -level tree T .
- Θ_n may be identified with the Kleisli category of Θ_n^{inert} induced by the free-forgetful adjunction between n -globular sets and strict n -categories.
- Θ_n fully and densely embeds into $n\text{Cat}$.

Lemma (Segal, B)

- Δ has an augmentation $\gamma_\Delta : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma : [n] \mapsto \underline{n}$
- If \mathcal{C} is an augmented moment category, then so is $\Delta \wr \mathcal{C}$
- $\Theta_n = \Delta \wr \cdots \wr \Delta$ is an augmented moment category

Proposition (Makkai-Zawadowski, B)

- Θ_n^{inert} embeds fully and densely into the category of n -globular sets, each object of Θ_n being identified with the globular pasting diagram T_* of an n -level tree T .
- Θ_n may be identified with the Kleisli category of Θ_n^{inert} induced by the free-forgetful adjunction between n -globular sets and strict n -categories.
- Θ_n fully and densely embeds into $n\text{Cat}$.

Lemma (Segal, B)

- Δ has an augmentation $\gamma_\Delta : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma : [n] \mapsto \underline{n}$
- If \mathcal{C} is an augmented moment category, then so is $\Delta \wr \mathcal{C}$
- $\Theta_n = \Delta \wr \cdots \wr \Delta$ is an augmented moment category

Proposition (Makkai-Zawadowski, B)

- Θ_n^{inert} embeds fully and densely into the category of n -globular sets, each object of Θ_n being identified with the globular pasting diagram T_* of an n -level tree T .
- Θ_n may be identified with the Kleisli category of Θ_n^{inert} induced by the free-forgetful adjunction between n -globular sets and strict n -categories.
- Θ_n fully and densely embeds into $n\text{Cat}$.

Lemma (Segal, B)

- Δ has an augmentation $\gamma_\Delta : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma : [n] \mapsto \underline{n}$
- If \mathcal{C} is an augmented moment category, then so is $\Delta \wr \mathcal{C}$
- $\Theta_n = \Delta \wr \cdots \wr \Delta$ is an augmented moment category

Proposition (Makkai-Zawadowski, B)

- Θ_n^{inert} embeds fully and densely into the category of n -globular sets, each object of Θ_n being identified with the globular pasting diagram T_* of an n -level tree T .
- Θ_n may be identified with the Kleisli category of Θ_n^{inert} induced by the free-forgetful adjunction between n -globular sets and strict n -categories.
- Θ_n fully and densely embeds into $n\text{Cat}$.

Definition (perfect moment categories)

A moment category \mathcal{C} is *perfect* if the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{act} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a left adjoint $\overline{(-)}$ such that the unit $\eta_A : A \rightarrow \overline{A}$ is pointwise *inert*.

Examples

Γ, Δ, Θ_n are perfect moment categories.

- In Γ the unit of the reflection is given by the natural injections $\underline{n} \rightharpoonrightarrow \underline{n+1}$.
- In Δ the unit of the reflection is given by $[n] \rightharpoonrightarrow [n+2] : i \mapsto i+1$.
- Perfectness of Θ_n follows from preservation of perfectness under wreath product.

Definition (perfect moment categories)

A moment category \mathcal{C} is *perfect* if the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{act} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a left adjoint $\overline{(-)}$ such that the unit $\eta_A : A \rightarrow \overline{A}$ is pointwise *inert*.

Examples

Γ, Δ, Θ_n are perfect moment categories.

- In Γ the unit of the reflection is given by the natural injections $\underline{n} \rightharpoonrightarrow \underline{n+1}$.
- In Δ the unit of the reflection is given by $[n] \rightharpoonrightarrow [n+2] : i \mapsto i+1$.
- Perfectness of Θ_n follows from preservation of perfectness under wreath product.

Definition (perfect moment categories)

A moment category \mathcal{C} is *perfect* if the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{act} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a left adjoint $\overline{(-)}$ such that the unit $\eta_A : A \rightarrow \overline{A}$ is pointwise *inert*.

Examples

Γ, Δ, Θ_n are perfect moment categories.

- In Γ the unit of the reflection is given by the natural injections $\underline{n} \hookrightarrow \underline{n+1}$.
- In Δ the unit of the reflection is given by $[n] \hookrightarrow [n+2] : i \mapsto i+1$.
- Perfectness of Θ_n follows from preservation of perfectness under wreath product.

Definition (perfect moment categories)

A moment category \mathcal{C} is *perfect* if the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{act} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a left adjoint $\overline{(-)}$ such that the unit $\eta_A : A \rightarrow \overline{A}$ is pointwise *inert*.

Examples

Γ, Δ, Θ_n are perfect moment categories.

- In Γ the unit of the reflection is given by the natural injections $\underline{n} \rightharpoonrightarrow \underline{n+1}$.
- In Δ the unit of the reflection is given by $[n] \rightharpoonrightarrow [n+2] : i \mapsto i+1$.
- Perfectness of Θ_n follows from preservation of perfectness under wreath product.

Definition (perfect moment categories)

A moment category \mathcal{C} is *perfect* if the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{act} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a left adjoint $\overline{(-)}$ such that the unit $\eta_A : A \rightarrow \overline{A}$ is pointwise *inert*.

Examples

Γ, Δ, Θ_n are perfect moment categories.

- In Γ the unit of the reflection is given by the natural injections $\underline{n} \succrightarrow \underline{n+1}$.
- In Δ the unit of the reflection is given by $[n] \succrightarrow [n+2] : i \mapsto i+1$.
- Perfectness of Θ_n follows from preservation of perfectness under wreath product.

Definition (perfect moment categories)

A moment category \mathcal{C} is *perfect* if the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{act} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a left adjoint $\overline{(-)}$ such that the unit $\eta_A : A \rightarrow \overline{A}$ is pointwise *inert*.

Examples

Γ, Δ, Θ_n are perfect moment categories.

- In Γ the unit of the reflection is given by the natural injections $\underline{n} \succrightarrow \underline{n+1}$.
- In Δ the unit of the reflection is given by $[n] \succrightarrow [n+2] : i \mapsto i+1$.
- Perfectness of Θ_n follows from preservation of perfectness under wreath product.

Lemma (moment classifier)

The active retraction of $\eta_A : A \triangleright \rightarrow \bar{A}$ induces a moment ω_A on \bar{A} such that there is a bijection between general maps $f : A \rightarrow B$ and active maps $g : \bar{A} \rightarrow B$ with the property that $f_*(1_A) = g_*(\omega_A)$.

Proposition

Each perfect moment category \mathcal{C} induces a moment classifier comonad (L, ν, ϵ) on \mathcal{C}_{act} with

- $LA = \bar{A}$
- $\nu_A = L\eta_A : LA \rightarrow L^2A$
- $\epsilon_A : LA \rightarrow A$ is the unique active retraction of η_A .

\mathcal{C} may be identified with the coKleisli category $K_L(\mathcal{C}_{act})$.

Lemma (moment classifier)

The active retraction of $\eta_A : A \triangleright \rightarrow \bar{A}$ induces a moment ω_A on \bar{A} such that there is a bijection between general maps $f : A \rightarrow B$ and active maps $g : \bar{A} \rightarrow B$ with the property that $f_*(1_A) = g_*(\omega_A)$.

Proposition

Each perfect moment category \mathcal{C} induces a moment classifier comonad (L, ν, ϵ) on \mathcal{C}_{act} with

- $LA = \bar{A}$
- $\nu_A = L\eta_A : LA \rightarrow L^2A$
- $\epsilon_A : LA \rightarrow A$ is the unique active retraction of η_A .

\mathcal{C} may be identified with the coKleisli category $K_L(\mathcal{C}_{act})$.

Lemma (moment classifier)

The active retraction of $\eta_A : A \triangleright \rightarrow \bar{A}$ induces a moment ω_A on \bar{A} such that there is a bijection between general maps $f : A \rightarrow B$ and active maps $g : \bar{A} \rightarrow B$ with the property that $f_*(1_A) = g_*(\omega_A)$.

Proposition

Each perfect moment category \mathcal{C} induces a moment classifier comonad (L, ν, ϵ) on \mathcal{C}_{act} with

- $LA = \bar{A}$
- $\nu_A = L\eta_A : LA \rightarrow L^2A$
- $\epsilon_A : LA \rightarrow A$ is the unique active retraction of η_A .

\mathcal{C} may be identified with the coKleisli category $K_L(\mathcal{C}_{act})$.

Lemma (moment classifier)

The active retraction of $\eta_A : A \triangleright \rightarrow \bar{A}$ induces a moment ω_A on \bar{A} such that there is a bijection between general maps $f : A \rightarrow B$ and active maps $g : \bar{A} \rightarrow B$ with the property that $f_*(1_A) = g_*(\omega_A)$.

Proposition

Each perfect moment category \mathcal{C} induces a moment classifier comonad (L, ν, ϵ) on \mathcal{C}_{act} with

- $LA = \bar{A}$
- $\nu_A = L\eta_A : LA \rightarrow L^2A$
- $\epsilon_A : LA \rightarrow A$ is the unique active retraction of η_A .

\mathcal{C} may be identified with the coKleisli category $K_L(\mathcal{C}_{act})$.

Lemma (moment classifier)

The active retraction of $\eta_A : A \triangleright \rightarrow \bar{A}$ induces a moment ω_A on \bar{A} such that there is a bijection between general maps $f : A \rightarrow B$ and active maps $g : \bar{A} \rightarrow B$ with the property that $f_*(1_A) = g_*(\omega_A)$.

Proposition

Each perfect moment category \mathcal{C} induces a moment classifier comonad (L, ν, ϵ) on \mathcal{C}_{act} with

- $LA = \bar{A}$
- $\nu_A = L\eta_A : LA \rightarrow L^2A$
- $\epsilon_A : LA \rightarrow A$ is the unique active retraction of η_A .

\mathcal{C} may be identified with the coKleisli category $K_L(\mathcal{C}_{act})$.

Lemma (moment classifier)

The active retraction of $\eta_A : A \triangleright \rightarrow \bar{A}$ induces a moment ω_A on \bar{A} such that there is a bijection between general maps $f : A \rightarrow B$ and active maps $g : \bar{A} \rightarrow B$ with the property that $f_*(1_A) = g_*(\omega_A)$.

Proposition

Each perfect moment category \mathcal{C} induces a moment classifier comonad (L, ν, ϵ) on \mathcal{C}_{act} with

- $LA = \bar{A}$
- $\nu_A = L\eta_A : LA \rightarrow L^2A$
- $\epsilon_A : LA \rightarrow A$ is the unique active retraction of η_A .

\mathcal{C} may be identified with the coKleisli category $K_L(\mathcal{C}_{act})$.

Lemma (moment classifier)

The active retraction of $\eta_A : A \triangleright \rightarrow \bar{A}$ induces a moment ω_A on \bar{A} such that there is a bijection between general maps $f : A \rightarrow B$ and active maps $g : \bar{A} \rightarrow B$ with the property that $f_*(1_A) = g_*(\omega_A)$.

Proposition

Each perfect moment category \mathcal{C} induces a moment classifier comonad (L, ν, ϵ) on \mathcal{C}_{act} with

- $LA = \bar{A}$
- $\nu_A = L\eta_A : LA \rightarrow L^2A$
- $\epsilon_A : LA \rightarrow A$ is the unique active retraction of η_A .

\mathcal{C} may be identified with the coKleisli category $K_L(\mathcal{C}_{act})$.

Definition

A composable class of morphisms \mathcal{R} is *stable* if arbitrary pushouts of members of \mathcal{R} exist and still belong to \mathcal{R} . A comonad (L, ν, ϵ) is *\mathcal{R} -cocartesian* if the naturality squares of the counit are cocartesian, ϵ is pointwise in \mathcal{R} , and L preserves ϵ -pushouts.

Proposition

The moment classifier comonad on \mathcal{C}_{act} is strongly \mathcal{R} -cocartesian, where \mathcal{R} is the stable class of active retractions in \mathcal{C}_{act} .

For $f : LA \dashrightarrow B$ in $K_L(\mathcal{C}_{act})$ we get the ϵ -pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & f & \\
 L(A) & \dashrightarrow & B \\
 \downarrow \epsilon_A & & \downarrow \epsilon_f \\
 A & \dashrightarrow & B' \\
 & \alpha_f &
 \end{array}$$

Definition

A composable class of morphisms \mathcal{R} is *stable* if arbitrary pushouts of members of \mathcal{R} exist and still belong to \mathcal{R} . A comonad (L, ν, ϵ) is *\mathcal{R} -cocartesian* if the naturality squares of the counit are cocartesian, ϵ is pointwise in \mathcal{R} , and L preserves ϵ -pushouts.

Proposition

The moment classifier comonad on \mathcal{C}_{act} is strongly \mathcal{R} -cocartesian, where \mathcal{R} is the stable class of active retractions in \mathcal{C}_{act} .

For $f : LA \dashrightarrow B$ in $K_L(\mathcal{C}_{act})$ we get the ϵ -pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & f & \\
 L(A) & \dashrightarrow & B \\
 \downarrow \epsilon_A & & \downarrow \epsilon_f \\
 A & \dashrightarrow & B' \\
 & \alpha_f &
 \end{array}$$

Definition

A composable class of morphisms \mathcal{R} is *stable* if arbitrary pushouts of members of \mathcal{R} exist and still belong to \mathcal{R} . A comonad (L, ν, ϵ) is *\mathcal{R} -cocartesian* if the naturality squares of the counit are cocartesian, ϵ is pointwise in \mathcal{R} , and L preserves ϵ -pushouts.

Proposition

The moment classifier comonad on \mathcal{C}_{act} is strongly \mathcal{R} -cocartesian, where \mathcal{R} is the stable class of active retractions in \mathcal{C}_{act} .

For $f : LA \dashrightarrow B$ in $K_L(\mathcal{C}_{act})$ we get the ϵ -pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & f & \\
 L(A) & \dashrightarrow & B \\
 \downarrow \epsilon_A & & \downarrow \epsilon_f \\
 A & \dashrightarrow & B' \\
 & \alpha_f &
 \end{array}$$

Definition

A composable class of morphisms \mathcal{R} is *stable* if arbitrary pushouts of members of \mathcal{R} exist and still belong to \mathcal{R} . A comonad (L, ν, ϵ) is *\mathcal{R} -cocartesian* if the naturality squares of the counit are cocartesian, ϵ is pointwise in \mathcal{R} , and L preserves ϵ -pushouts.

Proposition

The moment classifier comonad on \mathcal{C}_{act} is strongly \mathcal{R} -cocartesian, where \mathcal{R} is the stable class of active retractions in \mathcal{C}_{act} .

For $f : LA \dashrightarrow B$ in $K_L(\mathcal{C}_{act})$ we get the ϵ -pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & f & \\
 L(A) & \dashrightarrow & B \\
 \downarrow \epsilon_A & & \downarrow \epsilon_f \\
 A & \dashrightarrow & B' \\
 & \alpha_f &
 \end{array}$$

Definition

A composable class of morphisms \mathcal{R} is *stable* if arbitrary pushouts of members of \mathcal{R} exist and still belong to \mathcal{R} . A comonad (L, ν, ϵ) is *\mathcal{R} -cocartesian* if the naturality squares of the counit are cocartesian, ϵ is pointwise in \mathcal{R} , and L preserves ϵ -pushouts.

Proposition

The moment classifier comonad on \mathcal{C}_{act} is strongly \mathcal{R} -cocartesian, where \mathcal{R} is the stable class of active retractions in \mathcal{C}_{act} .

For $f : LA \dashrightarrow B$ in $K_L(\mathbb{C}_{act})$ we get the ϵ -pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & f & \\
 L(A) & \dashrightarrow & B \\
 \downarrow \epsilon_A & & \downarrow \epsilon_f \\
 A & \dashrightarrow & B' \\
 & \alpha_f &
 \end{array}$$

The functor L takes it to a cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 L^2(A) & \xrightarrow{L(f)} & L(B) & \cdots \xrightarrow{\epsilon_B} & B \\
 L(\epsilon_A) \downarrow & & L(\epsilon_f) \downarrow & & \nearrow \psi_f \\
 L(A) & \xrightarrow{L(\alpha_f)} & L(B') & &
 \end{array}$$

so that we get the factorisation

$$f = \epsilon_B L(f) \nu_A = \psi_f L(\alpha_f).$$

which is the *cofree/cogeneric* factorisation of f .

The functor L takes it to a cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 L^2(A) & \xrightarrow{L(f)} & L(B) & \cdots \xrightarrow{\epsilon_B} & B \\
 L(\epsilon_A) \downarrow & & L(\epsilon_f) \downarrow & & \nearrow \psi_f \\
 L(A) & \xrightarrow{L(\alpha_f)} & L(B') & &
 \end{array}$$

so that we get the factorisation

$$f = \epsilon_B L(f) \nu_A = \psi_f L(\alpha_f).$$

which is the *cofree/cogeneric* factorisation of f .

Lemma (cofree/cogeneric)

In the coKleisli category $K_L(\mathcal{C}_{act})$ the morphism $f : LA \dashrightarrow B$ is cofree/cogeneric iff ϵ_f (resp. α_f) is invertible in

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L(A) & \dashrightarrow & B \\
 \downarrow \epsilon_A & & \downarrow \epsilon_f \\
 A & \dashrightarrow & B' \\
 & \alpha_f &
 \end{array}$$

Theorem (cf. classified restriction categories – Cockett-Lack)

Let L be a strongly \mathcal{R} -cocartesian comonad on a category \mathcal{A} for a stable class \mathcal{R} in \mathcal{A} . Then the coKleisli category of L is a perfect moment category with active=cofree and inert=cogeneric.

Lemma (cofree/cogeneric)

In the coKleisli category $K_L(\mathcal{C}_{act})$ the morphism $f : LA \dashrightarrow B$ is cofree/cogeneric iff ϵ_f (resp. α_f) is invertible in

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L(A) & \dashrightarrow & B \\
 \downarrow \epsilon_A & & \downarrow \epsilon_f \\
 A & \dashrightarrow & B' \\
 & \alpha_f &
 \end{array}$$

Theorem (cf. classified restriction categories – Cockett-Lack)

Let L be a strongly \mathcal{R} -cocartesian comonad on a category \mathcal{A} for a stable class \mathcal{R} in \mathcal{A} . Then the coKleisli category of L is a perfect moment category with active=cofree and inert=cogeneric.

Lemma (cofree/cogeneric)

In the coKleisli category $K_L(\mathcal{C}_{act})$ the morphism $f : LA \dashrightarrow B$ is cofree/cogeneric iff ϵ_f (resp. α_f) is invertible in

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L(A) & \dashrightarrow & B \\
 \downarrow \epsilon_A & & \downarrow \epsilon_f \\
 A & \dashrightarrow & B' \\
 & \alpha_f &
 \end{array}$$

Theorem (cf. classified restriction categories – Cockett-Lack)

Let L be a strongly \mathcal{R} -cocartesian comonad on a category \mathcal{A} for a stable class \mathcal{R} in \mathcal{A} . Then the coKleisli category of L is a perfect moment category with active=cofree and inert=cogeneric.

Example (Segal duality)

The dual of the moment classifier comonad on Γ is the partial map classifier $\underline{n} \mapsto \underline{n}_+$ on the category of finite sets. Therefore

$$\Gamma^{\text{op}} \cong (\text{finite sets and partial maps}).$$

Example (Joyal duality)

The dual of the moment classifier comonad on Δ is the (dual) monad on Δ so that

$$\Delta^{\text{op}} \cong \Delta_{*,*}[-1].$$

Example (Segal duality)

The dual of the moment classifier comonad on Γ is the partial map classifier $\underline{n} \mapsto \underline{n}_+$ on the category of finite sets. Therefore

$$\Gamma^{\text{op}} \cong (\text{finite sets and partial maps}).$$

Example (Joyal duality)

The dual of the moment classifier comonad on Δ is the (dual) monad on Δ so that

$$\Delta^{\text{op}} \cong \Delta_{*,*}[-1].$$

Example (Segal duality)









The dual of the moment classifier comonad on Γ is the partial map classifier $\underline{n} \mapsto \underline{n}_+$ on the category of finite sets. Therefore

$$\Gamma^{\text{op}} \cong (\text{finite sets and partial maps}).$$

Example (Joyal duality)

The dual of the moment classifier comonad on Δ is the (dual) monad on Δ so that

$$\Delta^{\text{op}} \cong \Delta_{*,*}[-1].$$

-  M. Barr and C. Wells – *Category Theory for Computing Science*, TAC reprints **22** (2012).
-  C. Barwick – *From operator categories to topological operads*, Geom. Topol. **22** (2018), 1893–1959.
-  C. Berger – *Moment categories and operads*, TAC **38** (2022).
-  C. Berger – *Iterated wreath product of the simplex category and iterated loop spaces*, Adv. Math. **213** (2007), 230–270.
-  J. R. B. Cockett and S. Lack – *Restriction categories I: categories of partial maps*, TCS **270** (2002), 223–259.
-  J. R. B. Cockett and S. Lack – *Restriction categories II: partial map classification*, TCS **294** (2003), 61–102.
-  A. Joyal – *Disks, duality and θ -categories*, preprint (1997).
-  G. Segal – *Categories and cohomology theories*, Topology **13** (1974), 293–312.