

**Correlated N-Particle States Totally
Determined by One Particle
Generalized Spin Orbitals**

B. Weiner

Department of Physics,
Pennsylvania State University

➤ **Single Particle Model**

- ❖ **Motivation**

- ❖ **Definition**

- ❖ **First Order Reduced Density Operator model?**

➤ **Antisymmetrized Geminal Power (AGP) States**

- ❖ **Determined by single particle states**

- ❖ **Canonical representation and reduced density operators**

- ❖ **Correlated**

➤ **Optimization**

- ❖ **Generalized Fock Operator**

- ❖ **Ionization energies**

- ❖ **Total energy in terms of one particle energies**

➤ **Correlated One Particle Model**

**Why is the
Independent Particle Model
So useful?**



Orbitals \leftrightarrow Electrons



Pictures of Molecules



- Understand properties
- Predict and design reactions
- Design materials and drugs

However

The Independent Particle Model can often predict incorrect

- ❖ Properties
- ❖ Reactions
- ❖ Structures

Due to the absence of electron-electron correlation effects

Thus we want a one
electron \leftrightarrow orbital model
that describes correlation
effects

Definition of a One Particle Theory

N-particle State **totally determined** by

- A set of orthonormal Generalized Spin Orbitals (GSO's) spanning one particle space

$$\{ \psi_j(\mathbf{r}, \xi); 1 \leq j \leq r \}$$

- A set of occupation numbers of these GSO's

$$\{ N_j; 1 \leq j \leq r \}$$

**Expectation values
are expressed in
terms of one
particle quantities**

Generalized Spin Orbitals

$$\psi_j(\mathbf{r}, \xi) = \sum_k \{ c_{jk\alpha} \varphi_k(\mathbf{r}) \alpha(\xi) + c_{jk\beta} \varphi_k(\mathbf{r}) \beta(\xi) \}$$

$$\psi_j(\mathbf{r}, \xi) = \langle \mathbf{r}, \xi | \psi_j \rangle$$

$$\varphi_k(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \mathbf{r} | \varphi_k \rangle$$

$$\alpha(\xi) = \langle \xi | \alpha \rangle$$

$$\beta(\xi) = \langle \xi | \beta \rangle$$

Occupation Number

$$N_j$$

is the probability that an electron belonging to a group of N -electrons in a specific N -electron state is somewhere in the region of space/spin associated with the probability distribution

$$|\psi_j(\mathbf{r}, \xi)|^2$$

GSO's and occupation numbers define a
First Order Reduced Density Operator
(FORDO)

$$D^1 = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} N_j |\psi_j\rangle\langle\psi_j|$$

So is a one particle theory a FORDO
theory?

No, as

$$\{\psi_j(\mathbf{r}, \xi); 1 \leq j \leq r\} \quad \& \quad \{N_j; 1 \leq j \leq r\}$$

is many to one

$$D^1 = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} N_j |\psi_j\rangle \langle \psi_j|$$

Even when $\{N_j; 1 \leq j \leq r\}$ are non degenerate

As

$$\left\{ \left| e^{i\theta_j} \psi_j \right\rangle; 1 \leq j \leq r \right\}$$

Produce the same FORDO.

Do these GSO's and occupation numbers produce different N electron states?

Yes !

FORDO's
are invariant to U(1)
but N-electron states
are not in general
invariant to U(1)

The reducible representation

$$u |\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle \mathbf{u}_\psi$$
$$\mathbf{u}_\psi = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & e^{i\alpha_r} \end{pmatrix}$$

leaves the FORDO invariant, while the induced representation

$$\bigwedge^N \mathbf{u}_\psi$$

does not leave N-particle states invariant

Example of Correlated N Particle States

Determined by different sets

of GSO's and Occupation Numbers

Antisymmetrized Geminal Power States (AGPs)

$$\left| \mathfrak{g}^{\frac{N}{2}} \right\rangle = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{\frac{N}{2}} \leq s} c_{j_1} \cdots c_{j_{\frac{N}{2}}} \left| \psi_{j_1} \psi_{j_1+s} \cdots \psi_{j_{\frac{N}{2}}} \psi_{j_{\frac{N}{2}}+s} \right\rangle$$

Review of their Properties

Geminal

$$|g\rangle = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} c_j |\psi_j \psi_{j+s}\rangle$$

$c_j \geq 0 =$ Real Canonical Coefficients

$\{|\psi_j\rangle; 1 \leq j \leq r = 2s\}$ are

Canonical General Spin Orbitals (CGSO's)

that form an orthonormal basis of one electron space

FORDO of $|g\rangle$

$$D^1(|g\rangle\langle g|) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} n_j \left\{ |\psi_j\rangle\langle\psi_j| + |\psi_{j+s}\rangle\langle\psi_{j+s}| \right\}$$

$$n_j = c_j^2$$

Eigenvalues of $|g\rangle\langle g|$ at least doubly degenerate
degeneracy can be greater i.e.

some of the $\{n_j; 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ can be equal

FORDO of AGP

$$D^1 \left(\left| g^{\frac{N}{2}} \right\rangle \left\langle g^{\frac{N}{2}} \right| \right) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} N_j \left\{ \left| \psi_j \right\rangle \left\langle \psi_j \right| + \left| \psi_{j+s} \right\rangle \left\langle \psi_{j+s} \right| \right\}$$

Eigenvalues of $D^1 \left(\left| g^{\frac{N}{2}} \right\rangle \left\langle g^{\frac{N}{2}} \right| \right)$ at least doubly degenerate

degeneracy can be greater i.e.

some of the $\{N_j; 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ can be equal.

This leads to interesting physical properties
even superconductivity

CSO's are NGSO's

but

NGSO's are

not

necessarily CSO's

A. J. Coleman has proved
(Reduced Density Matrices pp
142-144), that

$$\{N_j; 1 \leq j \leq s\} \leftrightarrow \{n_j; 1 \leq j \leq s\}$$

in a 1-1 fashion

$$N_j = \frac{n_j S_{\frac{N}{2}-1}(\hat{j})}{S_{\frac{N}{2}}}$$

$$S_{\frac{N}{2}} = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{\frac{N}{2}} \leq s} n_{j_1} \cdots n_{j_{\frac{N}{2}}}$$

$$S_{\frac{N}{2}-1}(\hat{j}) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{\frac{N}{2}-1} \leq s \\ j \notin \left\{ j_1, \dots, j_{\frac{N}{2}-1} \right\}}} n_{j_1} \cdots n_{j_{\frac{N}{2}-1}}$$

Thus

$$\{N_j; 1 \leq j \leq s\} \text{ and } \{\psi_j; 1 \leq j \leq r\}$$



$$\{n_j; 1 \leq j \leq s\} \text{ and } \{\psi_j; 1 \leq j \leq r\}$$



$$\{c_j; 1 \leq j \leq s\} \text{ and } \{\psi_j; 1 \leq j \leq r\}$$



$$|g\rangle$$



$$|g^{\frac{N}{2}}\rangle$$

Why the red line?

Geminal and thus the AGP has a non trivial invariance group and many sets of CGSO's correspond to the same geminal and AGP.

The manifold of geminals (thus AGP's) can be parameterized by

$$|g(\mathbf{c}, \theta, \psi)\rangle = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} c_j e^{i\theta_j} |\psi_j \psi_{j+s}\rangle$$

where

$$\{ |\psi_j\rangle \mid 1 \leq j \leq r \}$$

is a complete orthonormal basis

the pairs of CGSO's are representatives of the equivalence classes formed by

$$\{|\psi_a\rangle, |\psi_b\rangle\} \sim \{|\psi_c\rangle, |\psi_d\rangle\}$$
$$|\psi_a\psi_b\rangle \langle\psi_a\psi_b| = |\psi_c\psi_d\rangle \langle\psi_c\psi_d|$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} c_j^2 = 1; c_j \geq 0; 1 \leq j \leq s$$

and

$$0 \leq \theta_2, \dots, \theta_s < 2\pi; \theta_1 = 0$$

hence

$$\left| g^{\frac{N}{2}} \right\rangle$$

is always invariant to
transformations

belonging to the group

$$SU(2)$$

that act on the subspaces

$$V_j = \text{Linear Span} \left\{ \left| \psi_j \right\rangle, \left| \psi_{j+s} \right\rangle \right\}$$

$$1 \leq j \leq s$$

If the occupation numbers of the geminal are more than two fold degenerate then the invariance group of the geminal is bigger than

$$SU(2)$$

Which can describe various physical phenomena e.g. superconductivity

This parameterization shows that
geminals are **not** invariant to

$$U(2)$$

But these transformations do leave
invariant the

- ❖ canonical coefficients
- ❖ geminal occupation numbers
- ❖ occupation numbers of the FORDO

The manifold of geminals that have the same canonical coefficients has the invariance group

$$U(1) = U(2)/SU(2)$$

leading to the set of AGP's based on

$$\{g(\mathbf{c}, \theta, \psi)\}; 0 \leq \theta_2, \dots, \theta_s < 2\pi; \theta_1 = 0$$

all having the same FORDO for a fixed (\mathbf{c}, ψ) .

These however
in general produce
different
N-particle states

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| g(\mathbf{c}, \theta, \psi)^{\frac{N}{2}} \right\rangle \\
 & \quad \parallel \\
 & \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{\frac{N}{2}} \leq s} c_{j_1} \cdots c_{j_{\frac{N}{2}}} \exp \left\{ i \sum_{1 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{N}{2}} \theta_{j_\kappa} \right\} \left| \psi_{j_1} \psi_{j_1+s} \cdots \psi_{j_{\frac{N}{2}}} \psi_{j_{\frac{N}{2}}+s} \right\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

**This can be seen by
noting the form of
the AGP-Second
Order Reduced
Density Operator**

Second Order Reduced Density Operators (SORDO's)

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^2 \left(\left| g^{\frac{N}{2}} \right\rangle \left\langle g^{\frac{N}{2}} \right| \right) &= \frac{1}{S_{\frac{N}{2}}} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} n_j S_{\frac{N}{2}-1}(\hat{j}) \left| \psi_j \psi_{j+s} \right\rangle \left\langle \psi_j \psi_{j+s} \right| \right. \\
 &+ \sum_{\substack{j \neq k \\ j, k \leq s}} c_j c_k e^{i(\theta_j - \theta_k)} S_{\frac{N}{2}-1}(\hat{j}\hat{k}) \left| \psi_j \psi_{j+s} \right\rangle \left\langle \psi_k \psi_{k+s} \right| \\
 &\left. + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j < k \leq r \\ k \neq j+s}} n_j n_k S_{\frac{N}{2}-2}(\hat{j}\hat{k}) \left| \psi_j \psi_k \right\rangle \left\langle \psi_j \psi_k \right| \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

which shows that for fixed (c, ψ) the SORDO's are different unless

$$\theta \sim \theta'$$

where

$$\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s\} \sim \{\theta'_1, \dots, \theta'_s\}$$

$$0 \leq \theta_j, \theta'_j < 2\pi; 1 \leq j \leq s$$

$$\theta_j - \theta_k = \theta'_j - \theta'_k; 1 \leq j < k \leq s$$

and thus correspond to different N-particle states, that have energies given by

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{n}, \psi, \theta) = \frac{\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{n}, \psi, \theta)}{S_N(\mathbf{n})} =$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} n_j S_{N-1}(j) \left\{ \langle \psi_j | h_1 \psi_j \rangle + \langle \psi_{j+s} | h_1 \psi_{j+s} \rangle + \langle \psi_j \psi_{j+s} | \psi_j \psi_{j+s} \rangle \right\} \right.$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq s} \left\{ n_j^{\frac{1}{2}} n_k^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i(\theta_j - \theta_k)} S_{N-1}(jk) \langle \psi_j \psi_{j+s} | \psi_k \psi_{k+s} \rangle \right.$$

$$\left. \left. + n_i n_j S_{N-2}(jk) \langle \psi_j \psi_k | \psi_j \psi_k \rangle \right\} \right\} / S_N(\mathbf{n})$$

where

$$\langle \psi_j \psi_k | | \psi_j \psi_k \rangle = \langle jk | | jk \rangle + \langle jk + s | | jk + s \rangle + \langle j + sk | | j + sk \rangle + \langle j + sk + s | | j + sk + s \rangle ; 1 \leq j, k \leq s.$$

and

$$\langle jk | | lm \rangle = \int \left\{ \psi_j^* (\mathbf{r}_1, \xi_1) \psi_k^* (\mathbf{r}_2, \xi_2) \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2\|} \psi_l (\mathbf{r}_1, \xi_1) \psi_m (\mathbf{r}_2, \xi_2) - \psi_j^* (\mathbf{r}_1, \xi_1) \psi_k^* (\mathbf{r}_2, \xi_2) \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2\|} \psi_l (\mathbf{r}_2, \xi_2) \psi_m (\mathbf{r}_1, \xi_1) \right\} d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2$$
$$1 \leq j, k \leq 2s; 1 \leq l, m \leq 2s$$

The set of N-particle AGP states can thus be classified into the equivalence classes:

- Same FORDO**
- Same SORDO same FORDO**

Correlated N-electron state
described by orthogonal but
unnormalized GSO's

We can fold the geminal occupation numbers and phase factors into the normalized CSO's to produce a SORDO and energy expression that just depends on an orthogonal but unnormalized set of CSO's

$$|\eta_j\rangle = n_j^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\frac{\theta_j}{2}} |\psi_j\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\eta) = \frac{\mathcal{H}(\eta)}{S_N(\mathbf{n})} = & \\ & \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} S_{N-1}(j) \left\{ \langle \eta_j | h_1 \eta_j \rangle + \langle \eta_{j+s} | h_1 \eta_{j+s} \rangle + n_j^{-1} \langle \eta_j \eta_{j+s} | | \eta_j \eta_{j+s} \rangle \right\} \right. \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq s} \left\{ S_{N-1}(jk) \langle \eta_j \eta_{j+s} | | \eta_k \eta_{k+s} \rangle \right. \\ & \left. \left. + S_{N-2}(jk) \langle \eta_j \eta_k | | | \eta_j \eta_k \rangle \right\} \right\} / S_N(\mathbf{n}) \end{aligned}$$

**N-electron
energy
in terms of
one electron
quantities**

Energy Optimized AGP's

Contains Independent Particle model
as a special case

The optimization problem can be expressed
in terms of many different sets of
parameters, one form is

$$\min_{(\mathbf{n}, \varphi)} \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{n}, \varphi)$$

subject to the orthonormality constraints

$$\langle \varphi_j | \varphi_k \rangle = \delta_{jk}; \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq 2s$$

and the constraints of positivity on the geminal occupation numbers

$$n_j \geq 0; \quad 1 \leq j \leq s,$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{n}, \varphi) = \frac{\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{n}, \varphi)}{S_N(\mathbf{n})} =$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} n_j S_{N-1}(j) \left\{ \langle \varphi_j | h_1 \varphi_j \rangle + \langle \varphi_{j+s} | h_1 \varphi_{j+s} \rangle + \langle \varphi_j \varphi_{j+s} | | \varphi_j \varphi_{j+s} \rangle \right\} \right.$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq s} \left\{ n_j^{\frac{1}{2}} n_k^{\frac{1}{2}} S_{N-1}(jk) \langle \varphi_j \varphi_{j+s} | | \varphi_k \varphi_{k+s} \rangle \right.$$

$$\left. \left. + n_i n_j S_{N-2}(jk) \langle \varphi_j \varphi_k | | | \varphi_j \varphi_k \rangle \right\} \right\} / S_N(\mathbf{n})$$

The positivity constraint can be removed by

$$\mathcal{E}(\alpha, \varphi) = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{n}(\alpha), \varphi)$$

where

$$n_j(\alpha) = e^{\alpha_j} n_{0j}$$

and the constrained optimization over the GSO's can be transformed to an unconstrained optimization using a Lagrangian defined as

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha, \varphi) = \mathcal{E}(\alpha, \varphi) - \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2s} \varepsilon_{kj} (\langle \varphi_j | \varphi_k \rangle - \delta_{jk})$$

where $\langle \varphi_k | \varphi_j \rangle = \langle \varphi_j | \varphi_k \rangle^* \Rightarrow \varepsilon_{jk} = \varepsilon_{kj}^*$

Leading to the unconstrained optimization

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha_{\#}, \varphi_{\#}) = \min_{(\alpha, \varphi)} \mathcal{L}(\alpha, \varphi)$$

Generalized Fock Operator

The first order stationarity conditions

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\alpha_{\#}, \varphi_{\#})}{\partial \varphi_j^*} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\alpha_{\#}, \varphi_{\#})}{\partial \varphi_j} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\alpha_{\#}, \varphi_{\#})}{\partial \alpha_j} = 0; \quad 1 \leq j \leq s$$

and the observation that the GSO derivatives can be expressed using generalized Fock operators as

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(\alpha, \varphi)}{\partial \varphi_j^*} = F(\mathbf{n}, \varphi) |\varphi_j\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(\alpha, \varphi)}{\partial \varphi_j} = \langle \varphi_j | F(\mathbf{n}, \varphi)^\dagger$$

leads to

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{n}_\#, \varphi_\#)}{\partial \varphi_j^*} = F(\mathbf{n}_\#, \varphi_\#) |\varphi_{\#j}\rangle = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 2s} |\varphi_{\#k}\rangle \varepsilon_{\#kj}$$

and hence

$$F(\mathbf{n}_\#, \varphi_\#) = F(\mathbf{n}_\#, \varphi_\#)^\dagger$$

The generalized Fock operator for any Multi Configurational State (MCS) is given by

$$F(\mathbf{C}, \varphi) = \sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq 2s} \left\{ (\mathbb{H}_1(\varphi) \mathbb{D}^1(\mathbf{C}))_{mn} + \frac{1}{2} (L_2^1(\mathbb{V}_2(\varphi) \mathbb{D}^2(\mathbf{C})))_{mn} \right\} |\varphi_m\rangle \langle \varphi_n|$$

where \mathbf{C} are the CI coefficients. As the SORDO for the AGP state **only** depends on the CSO's and the occupation numbers the generalized Fock operator for the AGP state is

$$F(\mathbf{n}, \varphi) = \sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq 2s} \left\{ (\mathbb{H}_1(\varphi) \mathbb{D}^1(\mathbf{n}))_{mn} + \frac{1}{2} (L_2^1(\mathbb{V}_2(\varphi) \mathbb{D}^2(\mathbf{n})))_{mn} \right\} |\varphi_m\rangle \langle \varphi_n|$$

Where the contraction operator is defined by

$$L_2^1(\mathbb{A})_{jk} = \sum_{1 \leq l \leq 2s} A_{jlkkl}$$

A crucial property in Hartree-Fock theory for IPS's is the invariance of such states to transformations that map occupied GSO's to occupied GSO's i.e.

$$|\Psi_{IPS}\rangle = U_N |\Psi_{IPS}\rangle = |U_{orb}\psi_1 \cdots U_{orb}\psi_N\rangle$$

where

$$U_{orb} : \mathcal{H}_{occ}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{occ}^1$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{occ}^1 = \text{linear span} \{ |\psi_j\rangle \mid N_j \neq 0; 1 \leq j \leq 2s \}$$

This allows one to give special significance to the GSO's that diagonalize the IPS Fock operator and their eigenvalues

This invariance can be generalized to MCS's

$$U |\Psi\rangle = |\Psi(\mathbb{U}_{CI} \mathbf{C}(\psi), U_{orb} \psi)\rangle = |\Psi\rangle$$

where $\mathbf{C}(\psi)$ are the C.I. coefficients wrt the basis $\{|\psi_j\rangle | 1 \leq j \leq 2s\}$

and

$$U_{orb} : \mathcal{H}_{occ}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{occ}^1$$
$$\mathbb{U}_{CI} : \mathbb{C}^{\binom{2s}{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\binom{2s}{N}}$$

In the special case of an AGP state based on the geminal

$$|g(\mathbf{n}, \varphi)\rangle = \sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq 2s} g_{kl}(\mathbf{n}, \psi) |\psi_k \psi_l\rangle = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2s} n_j^{\frac{1}{2}} |\varphi_j \varphi_{j+s}\rangle$$

the invariance transformations are generated by

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2s} n_j^{\frac{1}{2}} |\varphi_j \varphi_{j+s}\rangle = \sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq 2s} \left(\mathbb{U} \mathbf{n}_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{U}^t \right)_{kl} |\psi_k \psi_l\rangle$$

where $\mathbf{n}_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{n}^{\frac{1}{2}} & \mathbb{O} \\ \mathbb{O} & \mathbf{n}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\varphi_j = U \psi_j$

One can show that for a fully optimized MCSCF state that the diagonal elements of the generalized Fock operator in **any** basis

$$\{ |\psi_j\rangle \mid 1 \leq j \leq 2s \}$$

are

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{C}(\psi), \psi)_{jj} &= \Xi_j(\psi_j) \{ \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{C}(\psi), \psi) - \mathcal{E}_{N-1}(\mathbf{C}(\psi), \psi, \psi_j) \} \\ &\equiv \Xi_j(\psi_j) I(\psi_j); \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2s \end{aligned}$$

Where (a)

- $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{C}(\psi), \psi)$ is the optimized N-electron state energy
- $\mathcal{E}_{N-1}(\mathbf{C}(\psi), \psi, \psi_j)$ is the energy of the (unrelaxed) N-1 electron state with the GSO $|\psi_j\rangle$ removed

- $I(\psi_j)$ is the ionization energy of an electron that leaves in a state $|\psi_j\rangle$
- $\Xi_j(\psi_j)$ is the occupation of the state $|\psi_j\rangle$

and (b)

the N-electron energy can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{C}(\psi), \psi) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \text{Tr} \{ F(\mathbf{C}(\psi), \psi) \} + \mathcal{E}_1(\mathbf{C}(\psi), \psi) \right\}$$

where the one electron energy is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_1(\mathbf{C}(\psi), \psi) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2s} D^1(\mathbf{C}(\psi))_{jk} \langle \psi_k | h_1 \psi_j \rangle$$

Using the invariance of the N-electron state to the combined transformation of the CI coefficients and GSO's we can express this in terms of

- GSO's $\{ |\phi_j\rangle | 1 \leq j \leq 2s \}$ that diagonalize the FORDO (i.e. GNSO's) giving

$$\mathcal{E}_1(\mathbf{C}(\psi), \psi) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2s} N_j \langle \varphi_j | h_1 \varphi_j \rangle$$

Where $\{ N_j | ; 1 \leq j \leq 2s \}$ are the occupation numbers of the FORDO

Thus the N-electron energy can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{C}(\psi), \psi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2s} N_j \kappa(\varphi_j)$$

where

$$\kappa(\varphi_j) = I(\varphi_j) + h_1(\varphi_j)$$

and

$$h_1(\varphi_j) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2s} \langle \varphi_j | h_1 \varphi_j \rangle$$

The Generalized Fock operator is not in general diagonal in the GNSO basis but we can consider

- GSO's $\{ |\varsigma_j\rangle \mid 1 \leq j \leq 2s \}$ that **diagonalize the generalized Fock operator** and observe that

$$\varepsilon_j = \Xi_j(\varsigma_j) I(\varsigma_j) \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2s$$

where the eigenvalues of the Fock operator are $\{ \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq 2s \}$ thus giving

$$\text{Tr} \{ F(\mathbf{C}(\psi), \psi) \} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2s} \varepsilon_j$$

Thus

the N-electron energy can be written in terms
ionization energies, one particle kinetic energies
and one particle external potentials,

(This corresponds to the energy expression
obtained from the contour integration of the one
electron Greens function)

but

**in general it is not determined by one electron
properties** as one needs the N-electron CI
coefficients to determine

$$\{ I(\varphi_j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq 2s \}$$

However

An N-electron AGP state is determined by a set of unnormalized CGSO's $\{ |\psi_j\rangle \mid 1 \leq j \leq 2s \}$

where $\langle \psi_j | \psi_k \rangle = n_j \delta_{jk}$

that determine $I(\psi_j)$ and hence $\kappa(\psi_j)$

and the optimized AGP energy can be expressed entirely in terms of one electron quantities as

$$\mathcal{E} \left(g^{\frac{N}{2}} (\psi) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2s} N \left(\frac{\psi_j}{\sqrt{n_j}} \right) \kappa \left(\frac{\psi_j}{\sqrt{n_j}} \right)$$

Observe that the FORDO is diagonal in the basis

$$\left\{ \left| \frac{\psi_j}{\sqrt{n_j}} \right\rangle \mid 1 \leq j \leq 2s \right\}$$

but the generalized Fock operator is not

**Thus we have
constructed correlated
one electron theory that
can be explicitly
formulated in terms
GSO's and one electron
expectation values**

The AGP state has appeared in many guises

- Fractional Quantum Hall Effect (FCHE)
 - ❖ Pfaffian wavefunctions
 - ❖ Composite fermions
- Superconductivity
 - ❖ Number projected BCS states
- Random Phase Approximation (RPA)
 - ❖ Most consistent ground state of the
- Polarization Propagator
- Ising model

Future Directions

- Implement Optimization Scheme
- Strongly correlated fermion systems
- Quantum dots